SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_AJAEES_11283**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Critique of baseline conditions of the Third National Fadama Development Project in Kogi State : Beneficiaries' perspective**
Type of the Article	**Minireview Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The use of both charts and tables is unacceptale author should adopt one. Table discussions must start with the introduction of the table eg "Table 1 shows the percentage distribution of respondents by socio-economic characteristics"	
Minor REVISION comments	All the highlighted in yellow colour must be addressed before the manuscript be considered for publication.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Olubunmi Balogun**
Department, University & Country	**Department of Agricultural Economics & Extension, Babcock University, Ilishan-Remo, Nigeria**