



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_11326
Title of the Manuscript:	Government Run vs. University Managed Agricultural Extension: A Review of Nepal, India, and the United States
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper is well reviewed and therefore suitable for publication.	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Line 91: The word dollars should be deleted</p> <p>Line 101: The word develops should be replaced with developed</p> <p>Line 102: The word are should be changed to were</p> <p>Line 108: The word extension's should be replaced with extension</p> <p>Line 118: The word needs should be changed to need</p> <p>Line 127 to 129: The whole sentence should be revised to bring out the meaning.</p> <p>Line 248: Beginning with the word found, the sentence should be revised.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer