SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_AJAEES_6560**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Food Security Determinants among Urban Food Crop Farming Households in Cross River State, Nigeria**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	• Why are the hypotheses of the study not postulated? The relevance of research hypotheses cannot be ignored since this is an empirical study. • Where is the conceptual framework of this study? This can help in understanding the study and linking it to the theory. • The descriptive statistics are conspicuously missing in the manuscript. The author should provide a summary of the survey sample with the appropriate measures of dispersion and central tendency. • In table 1, provide Chi-Square tests to show whether food security indices for urban food crop farming households in the study area are significantly different. • In the logit model estimates, include the discussion of marginal effects in order to improve the quality of your discussions. • The author has discussed the results based on 1%, 5% and 10% significance levels. What was set as the apriori? The insignificant results based on the set apriori should not be discussed.	
Minor REVISION comments	The research gap addressed by this manuscript may be improved based on the recent literature. What does the paper seek to contribute in advancement of literature?	

Optional/General comments	The author should provide the limitations of the logit model with regards to the assumptions made and the context of the study.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer