

THE STATE OF ADOPTION OF YAM MINISSETT TECHNIQUE IN IMO STATE, NIGERIA

ABSTRACT

The study was carried out to determine the state of adoption of yam minisett technique by farmers in Imo State. Multi-stage random sampling technique was employed to select 90 respondents (yam farmers) from the area. Structured questionnaire was used to obtain useful information from the respondents. Results showed that 72% of the respondents were between 41 – 60 years of age, 56.7% were married, all attended formal education, 88% had above 5 years farming experience and 45.6% had below ₦100,000 net annual income from yam production. Findings also indicated that only 36.7% of respondents adopted the yam minisett technique. Age of the farmer, level of education, farming experience, educational level of farmers were factors found to have significant effect on adoption of the yam minisett technique. The farmers were also constrained by scarcity of inputs, low capital outlay, difficulty in obtaining loans, poor market for products and poor extension visit.

INTRODUCTION

Yam is one of the major food crops grown by man. Yams, *discorea spp*, belong to the family *Dioscoreacea* and the genus *Dioacorea*. The global production of yam is 30.1million metric tons annually (FAO, 1998). About 90 – 95% of this amount is produced in West Africa. Nigeria produces 36.72 million tons of yams annually (FAO, 2008). Nigeria is the largest world producer of yam accounting for about 65 – 71% of the world total production (Nweke et al 1991, FAO, 1998), with Cote d'Ivoire, Benin Republic, Ghana and Togo following in that order.

Various species of yams in the genus *Dioscorea* are cultivated in the tropics and sub-tropics. The six most economically important species grown as staple foods in Africa are *D. rotundata* (white yam), *D. cayenensis lam* (yellow yam (water yam), *D. esculenta* (cour), *Burk* (Chinese yam), *D. dumentorum* (kuntil), *Pax* (bitter yam) and *D. bulbitera L* (aerial yam) (Onw ueme, 1978). These six species constitute over 90% of the food yams produced in the tropics (Haln et al 1987, Orkwor 1988).

The tuber is the economically important part of the yam plant which is grown as a staple food. It is prized for its excellent eating qualities and has potential as an export crop to Europe and North America. Yam is an excellent source of carbohydrate, energy and some minerals (Janssen, 2001). A tuber of yam is composed of 65 – 75% water, 15 – 23% starch, 1 – 2.5% protein, 0.05 – 0.2% fibre, 0.7 – 2% ash and 0.05 – 0.2% fat (Janssens, 2001).

Yam tuber is consumed as boiled food and pounded yam. It can be made into slices and fried. It is also processed into yam flour for making of yam chips and yam flakes.

Yam is an important crop in Africa. The ethnocentric attachment to the crop is very strong to areas of its production. In Nigeria and in most parts of West Africa, yams are a symbol of wealth and influence in the community. The social value of yam is so high that any arable crop mixture of which yam is a component, including cassava and cocoyam is usually referred to as a yam-based crop mixture. The time of planting also plays a role in determining which of the component crops is the base crop in a chosen crop mixture. Usually in a yam/cassava mixture with other crops, yams are planted first and on the crests of the heaps or ridges while cassava is introduced later (8 to 12 weeks) depending on the varieties and area of production (Unamma et al 1987).

National Root Crops Research Institute (NRCRI), Umudike and International Institute for Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Ibadan have developed and released to farmers several improved yam varieties with potential of 35% higher yields than the local bets. These varieties include DRN 001, DRN 002, DRN 003, DRN 004, DRN 005, DRN 006, DRN 007, DR 010, DRN 087, TDR 89/02566, TDR 89/02665, TDR 89/02461, TDR 84/00625, TDR 95/18949, TDR 95/18922, TDR 89/02159, TDR 95/18988 and TDR 95/19177 (NRCRI, 2007, Olawelayo, 2008).

Why do we need minisett for new varieties? The literature review should refer to previous work on minisett adoption. See Agbaje and Oyejobami 2005

The tuber is the major means of crop propagation. Small-sized tubers of 200g to 1000g either specially produced for use as seed yam or selected from harvest as planting materials for the subsequent cropping season. Up to 30% of the previous years harvest may be used to plant a new crop (Okolie and Okoroda 1995). Thus, only 70% of the years harvest is available for other uses.

National Root Crops Research Institute, Umudike in 1981 developed the yam minisett techniques for mass production of seed yams (Okolie et al 1982). A minisett is a set of about 25 – 50gm (one quarter of the normal planting sett for seed yam production). The components of the yam minisett for seed yam production technology are: seed dressing chemicals (minisett dust/pesticides); planting time (when the rains become regular and steady or by irrigation); seed bed (planting on ridges or beds); spacing (25cm x 100cm) and fertilizer application (compound fertilizer). With this technique, a farmer could use 1(one) ton of 25g yam minisett to achieve what 2.5t/ha of seed yams would not achieve (Ikeorgu and Igbokwe 2003).

Despite numerous advantages of the yam minisett technique, this method of yam production has not been widely adopted by yam farmers in the study area.

The objectives of this study are to:

- (i) determine the socio-economic characteristics of yam farmers,
- (ii) investigate the extent ~~the~~ ^{of} yam minisett technique ~~has been adopted by~~ ^{adoption} farmers,
- (iii) identify problems that hinder adoption of yam minisett technique by farmers, ^{common}
- (iv) estimate the effects of socio-economic factors of the rural farmers on the adoption of yam minisett technique.

METHODOLOGY

Imo State is one of the south eastern states of Nigeria, located in the rainforest zone between latitude 4°5' and 7°15' North of Equator and longitude 6°5' and 7°25' East of Greenwich. The state occupies a land area of 5,100sq kilometers lying between the River Niger and upper and middle Imo River. Imo State is bounded on the east, west, north and south by Abia, Anambra, Enugu and Rivers State respectively. The area has a humid climate with a rainfall range of between 1990mm to 2200mm and mean temperature of above 20° (Ijeoma and Arunsi, 1990).

Imo State is divided into three zones which are Owerri, Okigwe and Orlu zones. The study adopted a multi-stage sampling. First, two local government areas were randomly selected from each of the zones. Fifteen yam farmers were later randomly selected from each of the six LGAs, giving a sample size of 90. Data was generated using a well structured questionnaire. Respondents were asked to indicate the stages they were in the adopters

category viz non-adoption, awareness, interest, evaluation, trials and adoption. Only those that were at the adoption stage were considered to have adopted the technology (Rogers 1995; Anozie et al 2008).

Data generated were analyzed using descriptive statistics (frequencies and percentages) and multiple regression analysis.

The regression model is specified thus:

$$Y = f(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6, X_7, e)$$

Where Y = Stage of adoption of minisett technique (non-adoption=1, Adoption = 2)

X_1 = Age in years

X_2 = Sex (dummy, female = 1, male = 2)

X_3 = Level of education (years spent in formal education; primary = 6, Secondary = 12 , Tertiary = 17)

X_4 = Farming experience in years

X_5 = Level of income (Naira)

X_6 = Farm size (Hectare)

X_7 = Household size (Number of persons in a household)

e = Error term.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table I: Distribution of respondents according to their socio-economic characteristics

Socio-economic variable	Frequency	Percentage
Age Range (Yrs)		
Less than 30	6	6.7
30 – 40	12	13.3
41 – 50	37	41.1
51 – 60	28	31.1
61 and above	7	7.8
Marital Status		
Single	29	32.2
Married	51	56.7
Widowed	10	11.1

158	Household Size		
159	1 – 5	39	43.3
160	6 – 10	51	56.7
161			
162	Farming Experience (yrs)		
163	3 – 5	10	11.1
164	6 – 10	19	21.1
165	11 – 15	35	38.9
166	16 – 20	20	22.2
167	21 and above	6	6.7
168			
169	Farm Size (Hectare)		
170	1 – 2	57	63.3
171	3 – 4	31	34.5
172	5 – 6	2	2.2
173			
174	Income Level (₦)		
175	Below 100,000	41	45.6
176	100,000 – 199,000	29	32.2
177	200,000 – 299,000	17	18.9
178	300,000 – 399,000	3	3.3

Source: Field Data 2011

~~Socio-economic characteristics of respondents (farmers) is presented in Table 1. Yam farmers in the study area were mostly within the age ranges of 41 – 50 years and 51 -60 years. These two age ranges constituted 72.2% of the respondents. Only 6.7% were below 30 years while 7.8% were 61 years and above. Majority (56.7%) were married, while 57.8% had only primary education. Those with secondary and tertiary education were 27.8% and 14.4% respectively. All the respondents have at least primary education.~~ (Table 1)

Farming experience vary among the respondents. Eleven percent had 3-5years.....

~~Table 1 further shows that 11.1% had 3 – 5 production experience, 21.1% had 6 – 10 years of experience, 38.9% had 11- 15 years experience while 22.2% and 6.7% had 16 -20years and above 21 years experiences respectively. Thus, respondents have acquired enough experience in yam farming. Table 1 also shows that 63.3% had 1 -2 hectares of land followed by 34.5% with 3 – 4 hectares whereas 2.2% had 5 – 6 hectares of farm plots. Olarewaju and Okoko (1999) has classified farmers having about 5.0 or less hectares of farm land as~~

Recast

small farmers who produce at subsistence level. Many (45.6%) had bellow ₦100,000 annual income from yam business, while 32.2% had ₦100,000 – ₦199,000 income only 22.2% had income of ₦200,000 and above income.

Table 2: Distribution of respondents according to stage of adoption of minisett technique

Variables	Frequency	Percentage
Awareness	8	8.9
Interest	26	28.9
Evaluation	10	11.1
Trial	13	14.4
Adoption	33	36.7

Source: Field Data 2012

~~Result of the stage of adoption of yam minisett technique is presented in Table 2.~~ From the result, all the respondents were aware of the minisett technique but 8 farmers were still ~~not~~ ^{not} at the stage of being aware and have not done anything about it. Twenty six (26) farmers had indicated interest in the technique. Those at the evaluation and trial stages were 10% and 13% respectively. Only 33 farmers representing 36.7% of respondents adopted the technique. Generations of agricultural research technologies are meaningful only when they are adopted at the farm level (Oyolu, 1983).

Table 3: Distribution of respondents by problems militating against adoption of minisett technique

Problems	Frequency	Percentage	Ranking
Scarcity of inputs	46	51.1	1
Low capital outlay	38	42.2	2
Difficulty in obtaining loans	35	38.9	3
Poor market for products	21	23.3	4
Poor extension visit	13	14.4	5

(Multiple responses recorded) **Source:** Field data 2012

~~Result in Table 3 shows that~~ farmers are constrained by the following factors, scarcity of inputs (51.1%), low capital outlay (42.2%), difficulty in obtaining loans (38.9%), poor market for products 23.3% and poor extension visit (Table 3) Scarcity of inputs (seed yams, minisett dust/pesticides, compound fertilizer) ranked highest seconded by inadequate working capital. There was no

institutional funding since many of them did not belong to any co-operative society. Most of the farmers depended on social funding.

Table 4: Regression estimates for socio-economic factors that influence adoption of yam minisett technique

Explanatory variable	Coefficient	t-ratio
Constant	73.2413	3.8891
Age (X_1)	-9.0218	-2.0115 ^{xx}
Sex (X_2)	6.7321	1.1167
Education Level (X_3)	5.0073	2.0172 ^{xxx}
Farming experience (X_4)	6.1724	0.1132 ^{xxx}
Income level (X_5)	4.2331	0.7811 ^{xxx}
Farm size (X_6)	3.0114	1.8321 ^{xx}
Household size (X_7)	2.1011	1.0223
R^2	0.7122	
F – Value	13.507	

xxx and xx = Significant at 1% and 5% respectively.

Source: Computed from field data 2011

Regression result in Table 4 shows that the coefficient of multiple determination (R^2) was 0.7122. This means that variables in the model explained 71% of the variation in adoption of the minisett technique. Thus, other factors not included in the model explained 29% of variation in the minisett adoption by farmers. The result indicates that age of the farmer ($X_1 = 9.0218$) and farm size ($X_6 = 3.0114$) were significant at 5%. Result on the age of the farmers is in-tandem with a priory. This implies that adoption of yam minisett decreases as farmers gets older. Farm size has a positive relationship with adoption of yam minisett, 1% increase in farm size will enhance adoption by 3.0114. Positive and significant (at 1%) relationships were also found between adoption of minisett technique and educational level of farmers ($X_3 = 2.0172$), their farming experience ($X_4 = 6.1724$) and income level ($X_5 = 4.2331$).

CONCLUSION

The study revealed that all the respondents were aware of the yam minisett technology but only 36.7% adopted the technology in their farming activities. Farmers level of education, farming experience, income level and farm size

were found to be positively and significantly influence their adoption of the minisett technique. The major problems identified by the respondents which hinder adoption of the technique were scarcity of inputs, low capital outlay, difficulty in obtaining loans, poor market for products and poor extension visit.

To enhance adoption of yam minisett technique by farmers, the study calls for policies aimed at assisting farmers improve their educational attainment. Availability of credit could encourage farmers with low capital base to adopt new technologies. It is also required that the extension activities in the area be improved.

REFERENCES

Anozie, R.O, M.O. Okoronkwo and E.C. Onyemairo, Adoption of improved maize variety by farmers in Ishiagu, Ebonyi State. In the proceedings of the 42nd Annual Conference of Agricultural Society of Nigeria, 2008 .Pp 813 – 815.

Food and Agricultural Organization, FAOSTAT Agricultural Database,1998. [fao@www.fao.org](http://www.fao.org).

Food and Agricultural Organization, FAOSTAT Statistical Division of Food and Agric Organization, Rome,2008. www.faostat.org: June 8.

Halin S.K, D.S. Osiru and J.A. Oloo, Yam production and its future prospects; Outlook on Agriculture,1987. pp105 – 110.

Ijioma, M.A. and S.I. Arunsi, Climatic characteristics. In: Socio-Economic Development of Orlu (eds. U.M. Igbozurike) 1990. pp 15 – 21.

Janssens M. Root and Tuber Crops. In: Crop Production in Tropical Africa (ed. R.H. Raemakers),CABI, Belguim.2001. pp 165 – 275

National Root Crops Research Institute, Agricultural Extension Guide for use of Secondary School Students on Excursion, NRCRI, Umudike,2007. pp 18.

Okoli, O.O. and C. Okoroda, Providing seed tubers for the production of food yams. *African Journal of Root and Tuber Crops* 1 (1),1995.pp 1 – 6.

Okoli, O.O, M.C. Igbokwe, L.S. Ene, and J.U. Nwokoye, Multiplication of yam by minisett technique, Research Bulletin 2(2),1982.pp 3 – 4.

- 292
 293 Olarewaju, A and Okoko, B . Socio – Economic Factors Affecting Crop
 294 Production in the Rural Oyo LGA of Nigeria. *Journal of Applied Tropical*
 295 *Agriculture*. 4 (2),1999 .pp 115-121
 296
 297 Oluwatayo, J.I, B.A. Kalu, S. Danjuma, J.E.G. Ikeorgu and E.C. Nwachukwu,
 298 Evaluation of improved white yam (*Dioceorea Rotundata*) varieties for tuber
 299 yield and quality in Makurdi. In the proceeding of the 42nd Annual
 300 Conference of Agricultural Society of Nigeria. 2008. Pp 338.
 301
 302 Onwueme, I.C, The Tropical Tuber Crops – yams, cassava, sweet potato and
 303 cocoyam, John Willy, 1978.pp 102.
 304
 305 Orkwor, G.C, The importance of yams. In: Food yam advances in Research
 306 (eds, G.C. Orkwor, R. Asadu and I.J. Ekanayeke). Institute of Tropical
 307 Agriculture, Ibadan and National Root Crops Research Institute Umudike
 308 Publication, 1998.Pp 2 – 10.
 309
 310 Oyolu C, The role of Agricultural Research in Technology Development and
 311 Transfer with special reference to Nigeria. In Proceedings of Workshop on
 312 Rural Agricultural Extension, NRCRI, Umudike, 1983. pp 26 – 28.
 313
 314 Rogers, E.M, Diffusion of innovation (4th ed), Free press, Newyork,1995. pp.
 315 70 – 80.
 316
 317 Unamma, R.P.A, G.C. Orkwor and M. Ibedu, Effects of fertilizer and time of
 318 inter-planting maize on the performance of yam/maize intercrop. In:
 319 proceedings of 1st International Workshop on cassava based cropping systems
 320 research network in the tropics. International Institute for Tropical
 321 Agriculture, Ibadan, Nigeria,1987. pp 232 – 236.
 322