



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJAEES_8558
Title of the Manuscript:	INDUSTRIAL DEMAND ANALYSIS FOR MILLET IN KADUNA AND KANO STATES OF NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Hypothesis should be included. 2. Selection method of samples industry should be clearly mentioned in methodology. 3. Conclusion may elaborate according to finding in tables. 4. Justified how study relevance to outside of command area?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Minor grammatical mistakes. 2. Abbreviation must added.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Over all repairable deficiencies and may be reconsidered	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer