SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	**2014_AJAEES_10429**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Accessing the Impact of Monetary Instrument towards Inflation in Malaysia**

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	**Authors' response to final evaluator's comments**
There was a significant effort on the part of the authors to improve the contents of the paper, namely in what concerns the motivation of the study, the literature review and the discussion of the results. I'm not a specialist in the application of econometric techniques, but as far as I can tell the study is meaningful and delivers relatively interesting results. Nevertheless, there are still a few problems, e.g. with the grammatical construction of some sentences, that make me not too enthusiastic about recommending the paper for publication. If the editors decide to accept the paper, at least the reference issue must be resolved – for instance, the paper from Clarida, Gali and Gertler, published in 1999 in the Journal of Economic Literature, is presented in the paper by the first name of the first author, Richard Clarida. This is one of the most cited papers in economics; it has been cited more than 4500 times. Furthermore, the expression 'et al.' should be included in the text, when the reference is of more than2 authors, but in the final list of references all authors should be indicated.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer