



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_10536
Title of the Manuscript:	REINVESTIGATING THE EXPORT-LED GROWTH EVIDENCE IN MALAYSIA: BOUND TEST APPROACH
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. The paper has some grammatical errors that I cannot specifically indicate all here!!! Author needs consider revising. 2. Other areas seems to be confusing like the part written as "we conclude that there is no long run relationship between poverty and its determinants" while in this paper the author seems to investigate about the Export and its determinants using ARDL approach. - "Conversely, if the computed F-statistics is greater than the upper bound value then agriculture expenditure and its determinants share a long run level relationship". - "The wald test can be carry out by imposing restrictions on the estimated long run coefficients of economic growth, inequality, investment and public expenditure". (the two variables i.e inequality and public expenditure were not part of mentioned estimated equation). 3. The author should state what does GDI, GIIE hypothesis means?	Correction done.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The author has selected good topic of research however his/her paper has some grammatical errors and other confusing parts that seems to be unrelated to his topic unless he/ she has clear explanation for that so need to review and correct them to improve the quality of the	The paper used the same technique and produce by the similar author. But the scope is different. This paper focus only on Malaysia while the paper from ASBES focus on ASEAN4



SDI Review Form 1.6

	paper for publication. I found similarities between this paper and 2nd ANNUAL SUMMIT ON BUSINESS AND ENTREPRENEURIAL STUDIES (2nd ASBES 2012) PROCEEDING 15th - 16th OCTOBER 2012. HILTON HOTEL, KUCHING, SARAWAK, MALAYSIA ISBN: 978-967-5705-08-3. WEBSITE: www.internationalconference.com.my	
--	--	--