



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_15369
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Government Agricultural Expenditure on Agricultural Output in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Model wrongly specified. The work is not on economic growth but impact of government expenditure on growth in Agricultural output. so your model can be specified in this form: $\text{AGRICOUTPUT} = f(\text{REXPA}, \text{DDEBT}, \text{NOR}, \text{INFL}, \text{INTR})$ Author needs to show the result for the test of optimum lag length before showing the cointegration result. There is need to re-examined the result of causality test for correct interpretation. For instance, the result in table (3) shows that there is no causality between INTR and AOUP Authors not cited in the work should be removed from the list of references. E.g. Abayomi (2002), Anyanwu, (2004) etc. OLS result not provided in the work, this is highly necessary for readers to see. 	<p>The researchers appreciate the effort of this reviewer.</p> <p>The recommendations were effected and the title of the paper was changed to “impact of government agricultural expenditure on the growth of Nigerian economy</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Section 3.0: the theoretical framework reviewed for the study seems not to capture the objective of the paper, which is impact of government expenditure on sectoral productivity. A review of Keynesian macroeconomic thought could have been of help. Author may wish to consult this paper: <p>Imoughele, Lawrence Ehikioya and Ismaila, Mohammed (2013), “Determinants of Public Health Care Expenditure in Nigeria: An Error</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>CorrectionMechanism Approach.”<i>International Journal of Business and Social Science Vol. 4 No. 13; October 2013</i></p> <p>2. There is need to review more recent empirical works. So far, no empirical paper on the topic has been reviewed.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>1. Section 2.0: the section titled may be changed to An Overview of Nigeria Agricultural Sector Performance instead of Conceptual Framework</p>	