



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_15684
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact Assessment of Nomadic Education Extension Programme on Income of Nomads in Adamawa State Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	What is/are the recommendation(s) of this study? Add recommendations with inference from the findings of your study. Consult author's guide for proper formatting The study is good but not deeply researched.	Noted it has been taken care of in the revised manuscript. ok
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The literature is a little shallow. It could be improved on	Literatures on the subject matter are scanty.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	i am just wondering if the data on this table 1 was from an actual survey. The trend of improved earnings seems to favour the non-participating more than those participating. a graph of this table will favour the non-participants. the felt impact is with the 100,000 and above group fro the participating group	The data is a true data collected with assistance of nomadic extension agents in the study area.