



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_15750
Title of the Manuscript:	Main agroforestry systems and their contribution to property reduction in mountainous areas, Vietnam
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper is very interest, but has some inconsistencies in the economics analysis. The number of the years is different for each AFS. The number of the variables considered in analyse is small (only labor, seedlings and fertilizer).	Thank you for your comments and evaluation. Comparing benefit among agroforestry systems (AFS) is not our objective. And, as we mentioned each AFS can only be established on particular ecological region and has different species composition. Therefore, it is clear that AFSs have different number of years for their rotation. Our main objective was to mention benefit of each AFS as it is and to discuss challenges for establishing them in Vietnam. As we mentioned, these AFSs were stablished by local people themselves. Therefore, information about variables for cost-benefit analysis was not easily to get all besides labour, seedlings and fertilizer.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		-
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	-	-