



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                    |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a> |
| Manuscript Number:       | 2015_AJAEES_16056                                                                  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Determinants of Savings among Rural Women in Borno State, Nigeria                  |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article                                                          |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Line 29 there is full stop between tools and most kindly check that sentence</li> <li>The first -two paragraph under the methodology section dealt with description of the study area – this must be designated as such</li> <li>From line 90 the problem is not clear. The author should make the problem which warrant the study clearer. The livelihood activities cannot warrant a study of saving behaviour of women.</li> <li>The author should state the main objective and delete the specific objectives of the study</li> <li>The first two paragraph under the methodology present the study are hence should be headed as such</li> <li>Line 43 is the equation number 3.1 or 1, I think it should be 1 since this is the first equation in the article</li> <li>The analytical exposition is confusing and not clear the author may refer to Pindyck, S. R. and Rubinfeld, L. D (1998): Econometric models and economic forecasts, McGraw-Hill international edition New York, Irwin/McGraw-Hill</li> <li>In the empirical model the author should explain what he mean by saving ?</li> <li>Statement made by the author in Line 299</li> </ol> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The abstract was reviewed.</li> <li>has been headed as “The Study Area”.</li> <li>It has been reviewed from line 67-86</li> <li>Main objective written and specific objectives deleted.</li> <li>has been headed as “The Study Area”.</li> <li>I think the reviewer meant to say line 143 and not 43. The equation number has been replaced by single digit numbers.</li> <li>the logit regression model in any Econometric text book is the same. The model is used for binary dependent variables which takes the form of 0 or 1, that is the probability of having the dependent variable or not. Equ 2 &amp;3 explains Equ 1. While equ 4 is how the study used the equ.</li> </ol> |



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                                         |                                                                                        |                                                      |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
|                                         | <b>should be justified</b>                                                             | 8. saving explained in 170.<br>9. line 299 reviewed. |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments   | Authors should go through the whole article page by page and undertake English editing |                                                      |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments |                                                                                        |                                                      |