



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJAEES_16227
Title of the Manuscript:	Forced-Urbanization: an Alienation of Urbanization in China
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The abstract are not well structured: they should present issues related to Forced Ub & what should be done to overcome it 16-41: while discuss 4 important events of Ub in China – but it doesn't clearly show how these events really gave impact on pop wellbeing esp in China; there is still missing gaps on why study on forced Ub significant 43: to what extent this issue is significant in terms of statistical effects ie %, total numbers, comparative evidences etc affecting those involved. 67: ...cities when slack...- pls choose appropriate word eg during off-season/doing part-time jobs etc.. 92 – 168: most explanation are merely conceptual & perception in nature..they should be backed by statistical prove/evidences. 169-170: shld have brief pre-amble to link btw main topic with sub-topics. 196-206: sentences too long, that lead to dilute actual meaning & some sentences are not well explained; better use simple words/sentences with clear meaning 224: ..long been neglected – on what evidences/references? 259-266: such claims presented a very serious crime in name of Ub BUT what evidences/proves & references available?? ** Less theoretical underpinnings related to Ub implications/threats.	1. I have written the abstract again. Issues related to forced Ub and its countermeasures have been presented (see line 4-15). 2. Line16-41: In the revised paper, research background and concept of forced urbanization are very important. See line 62-64. 3. line 43:The extent of issues is added (see line 72-73). 4. Line66-67 is modified (see line99-100). 5. Line 92-168: the explanation is backed by some survey data (see line138-147). 6. Line 169-170: I have added brief to link the main topic with sub-topics. (see line 204-207) 7. Line196-206: I have written those sentences again.(see line239-247) 8. Line 224: the evidence is added, see line 255-257. 9. Line 259-266: the evidence is added , see the footnotes on page 8. 10. We explained the research purpose, the theoretical framework and the question to answer. See the yellow mark in the part of introduction.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	** Conclusion: not well reflected an alarming issues of forced Ub..what should be discuss are the existing threats, implications of forced Ub & what steps/strategies should be implemented to hold/overcome such incidence. Ethical issues -:Not much except need evidences on claims as stated in comments	11. Conclusions: we have written this part again. The revised manuscript reflects the alarming issues of forced urbanization. The intention and effect of new-style urbanization to curb forced urbanization in China are stressed. And the risk of new style urbanization alienated to forced urbanization is also alarmed.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 22: what does Great Ub referring to in this article context & how it was related to other types of Ub in China; Line 24: why .. in 2002 earlier..doing at this place? 209-210: if ub only 35% in china vs 80% in developed countries..then why worry about forced Ub?	12. Line22: The Great urbanization, please see the explanation in line 28-34. The relation see line 35-39, line 42-57. To large extent, the concept changing from urbanization to passive urbanization and to forced urbanization depends on the concern about the real problem of wellbeing. 13. Line 24: See the revision in line 40-45. 14. Line 209-210: Because the urbanization rate is a nominal terms. And some peasants are reluctant to be citizens.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Line 86-91: how do we relate directly those figures/infos with forced Ub?	Those information is deleted.