



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJAEES_16227
Title of the Manuscript:	Forced-Urbanization: an Alienation of Urbanization in China
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article raises an interesting ethical question of human development through urbanisation. It discusses human development through forced urbanisation which has been implemented in China. This is a new dimension of analysing social, economic and human rights abuse that might be linked to some policies developed and implemented to promote development, which in the case of the article is forced urbanisation. The article however in its current form is not publishable. It requires extensive improvements, especially with regard to the construction of sentences and phrasing. Some of these are provided below under General comments.	We have modified the manuscript according to the general comments sentence by sentence, line by line. See all the yellow mark.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Abstract: Line 3 should be rephrased as follows: Forced – urbanisation that deviates from the intended purposes can leads to the alienation of some societies, especially those that had been forced to migrate to urban areas. This holds potential threats to the sustainable development of the urbanised communities. This mainly comes through the erosion of well being rights and lack of improvement of social welfare for migrated communities. Rooted in GDP-oriented mechanical paradigm, forced –urbanisation damages the urban	Abstract: We have adopted the suggestions for modification. See line 4-10. We also adopted the additional comments about the construction of sentences and phrasing. See all the yellow mark. Conclusions: we have rewritten this part to reflect the issues in summary in response to the problems in introduction. See line 370-395.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	dynamic core. As a result cities become monsters. Line 7, which starts with “With no effective restriction” – is not clear. The author should consider rephrasing it in another way. [The author can consider adopting this approach for the abstract] Additional comments Line 16, can be rephrased as follows : In a universal sense, urbanisation entails rural population migrating --- Line 18, which reads “, promoting urbanisation is usually generally seen as a way of improving well being”the author should consider either dropping usually or generally from the sentence. Line 22, sounds incomplete. The author should indicate in which area has achievement been witnessed? Line 24 should be rephrased as follows: Considering the trend of urbanisation and the extent of government intervention in China, in 200---“ Line 38-40, is not clear. The author should consider rephrasing it in another way. Line 47-49, which starts with the word “While urbanisation---“is important but it needs to be rephrased so that it becomes easy to understand it Line 51-53 can be rephrased as follows: Urban sprawl is bound to occupy farmland. The reality in China is that current expropriation system does not recognise peasants land contract and management--- Line 53-56 is too long and thus is not clear. It need to be rephrased. Line 66-68 can be rephrased as follows: In the past, when farmers had land as means of production, they could do farming to keep self sufficiency during peak farming	
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	season and work to earn a living in cities during off peak farming moments. Line 68, as sentence "How could the land lost group earn a living after forced urbanisation? Need to be put is a better context. Line 73-75 should be rephrased. It is not clear. Line 76 remove "some" so that the sentence can read as follows: In provinces like Fujian, Anhui--- Line 86 Change Chart 1 to Table 1 Line 93 should read as follows "Farming is a profession but also a political identity through which a farmer enjoys unique political right known as villager's autonomy. Villager autonomy fully entrenches farmers' principal position in politics Line 101 CPC should be written in full Line 115-119 is not clear. It should be rephrased. Line 122 Change enjoy to enjoyment Line 126-130 is too long and thus not easy to understand. The author should consider braking it to short focussed sentences. Line 134-142 should be broken down to short focussed sentences Line 150-151 is not clear. In needs to be rephrased. Line 162 remove "were" Line 165-168 should be rephrased. The author must consider removing one of "on the other hand" Line 171-176 should be rephrased for better understanding Line 177 is not clear Line 178-182 should be simplified by breaking it into short focussed sentences Section represented by lines 184-189 must be simplified. The author must consider using short, focussed sentences. Line 197-201 is not clear it should be rephrased	
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 213-215 need to be rephrased Line 217-221 should be rephrased Line 218-221 is not clear, it should be rephrased Line 222-225 the author should consider rephrasing it Line 227-230 rephrase Line 231-235 rephrase Line 236-238 rephrase Line 240 is not clear, it should be rephrased Line 242-245 is not clear, it should be rephrased Line 256-266 need to be phrased in a better context. The author should indicate the implications of examples provided Line 270-272 need to be rephrased Line 274-275 need to be rephrased Line 278-281 need to be rephrased Line 281-283 need to be rephrased Line 284-286 need to be rephrased Line 299-397 is too long. It needs to be rephrased by breaking it into short focussed sentences Line 308-311 is too long. It need to be broken up into short focussed sentences Conclusion In the light of proposed changes, the conclusion can be rewritten to reflect arguments raised and effects of forced urbanisation	
--	--	--