



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJAEES_16227
Title of the Manuscript:	Forced-Urbanization: an Alienation of Urbanization in China
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author (or authors) must indicate what is the "research/scientific question" he, she or they want to answer in the paper. China is a very important country and Chinese experiences may be extremely useful object of scientific research. It is closer to a journalistic essay. Is paper contributing to our knowledge on sociology, anthropology, economics, psychology, all of them or neither of them? I could not identify in which area of science the paper is contributing to. The author's lack of care when using concepts is astonishing. He or she uses "passive urbanization", "forced urbanization", "new-style urbanization" without a rigorous and let the reader guessing what are their meaning and differences. A scientific piece must be coherent (logical quality) and consistent (capable of surviving contra-arguments). This paper lacks both. There are many sentences that are "wishful thinking" not scientific writing (for example, lines 211 and 212; lines 231 to 235; lines 248 to 251; among others.	We accept this criticism. And we have revise the manuscript totally. Based on literature review, we explain the concept of urbanization, passive urbanization and forced urbanization. see line36-57. In fact, we want to rationalize weather the New-style urbanization strategy could be a solution to the problems caused by the urbanization in which wellbeing rights was ignored severely. See line58-64. So the research focus is "forced urbanization continues or not "in the context of New-style urbanization strategy. This problem is very important on the background of government intervene in China. See 58-67. Combined with the present situation of wellbeing in China and the intention of New-urbanization strategy, we think the revised manuscript is basically coherent and consistent though no quantitative method adopted. We have tried best to revise the manuscript sentence by sentence. See all the yellow mark. China is a very important country. This research provides an opportunity to realize the obstacle of Chin Dream, and the efforts of Chinese government. It could contribute to the rational view on China and the policy of China. Maybe this research is closer to a journalist essay, but it is more complete and rigorous.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		