



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJAEES_16513
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comprehensive Review of Microfinance Impacts, Sustainability and Outreach
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 41 – This is a categorical statement and must be referenced. Check Line 50-52 Line 297- The source of the figure should be placed below it rather and not in the text. Line 298 – “is there a trade-off”?	One reference has been added in the line 41 It is mentioned that only peer-reviewed articles were cited but other publications are studied for better understanding. The sources has been placed below the Figure 1 “Is there a trade-off” omitted from the heading
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	A very good introduction to the paper. Methodology adopted has been clearly stated. The paper is well written following the method adopted for the research. This is a good research paper.	Thanks for appreciation and accepting the paper