



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJAEES_16637
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Various Policy Regimes toward Agricultural Export Growth in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Source of data 1. Be more explicitly on the secondary data used. How was the data collected? Is there any risk of biasness? How accuracy? 2. Explain more clearly which data was obtained from FAO?	1. I have become more explicit and described how the data was collected. There is no risk or biasness. Exponential models are traditional for ascertaining growth rates. 2. This has been done and highlighted.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Some of the sentences are so long and not clear, do the grammar check and proof reading to improve it.	Proof reading to check long sentences has been done. Grammar check has also been done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The study is very relevant to Nigerian economy	