



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJAEES_16773
Title of the Manuscript:	Women Farmers in Practices: Opportunities and Challenges in Accessing Potato Production Technologies in Welmera Ethiopia
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The author fail to follow the general way of writing Manuscript Abstract Too wrong unnecessarily Shorten it and must have a max of 150 words Introduction This part is well written but author need to shorten it and show background infor, problem statement, objectives, specific objectives and research questions if any explicitly. Methodology The methods stipulated is not well presented Findings and Discussion It well presented and quantified but I suggest the use of table, chart to enable reader to follow easily. Ethical issues_: No but author need to adhere to the format provide in the specific journal plagiarism Issue-: No But see the last reference in the list seem it was copy and paste	It is acceptable to have abstract of an article paper until 300 words. Therefore, the abstract is shortened to 300 words. I think this is not a thesis. It is in the thesis that we are required to write problem statement, general and specific objectives, research questions. In article paper, it is not a mandatory. In action oriented research such as this it is hard to follow the usual sampling techniques, sample size determination, data collection methods and data analysis protocol. In such a case, the best way is to describe the procedures and approaches as given in the manuscript. Use of table and chart is an optional; we did not make any change.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	List of refer.... is NOT well written and there is poor style of writing	This is corrected as per the style of the journal.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Follow Journal format	The journal format was followed.