



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJAEES_16979
Title of the Manuscript:	A decade (2002 - 2012) of presidential intervention on cassava in Nigeria; successes and challenges
Type of the Article	Policy Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	References: Check and follow the journal style Ethical issues -:None. Should not localise the paper to Nigeria alone. Other nations like Ghana brazil etc have similar policies	References formatted to journal style The manuscript presented policy issues that led to cassava transformation in Nigeria, but similar policies that have led to successes in Brazil, Thailand and Ghana have been included in the manuscript
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	This is an international journal. Re-write to present this information as Nigeria success in cassava transformation to the world reader. Then other cassava producing nation can use as template.	Manuscript revised to revised for global audience
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I feel you can reframe the topic for international readership	This will be the topic for another manuscript. I learnt a lot while attempting to address your comments.