



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJAEES_17753
Title of the Manuscript:	Is the Traditional Role of Milk Cooperatives still relevant? Evidence from Western Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- Line 34: firstly - Line 35: ccollective → collective - Line 60: "Western Kenya produces about 215 Million litres of milk, is a deficit region". There are two verb in this sentence. Please modify it. - Line 105: "According to", no prep. Object. - Line 133: "[24] reported", no Subject. - Line 135: "[4] also reported" , no Subject.	Corrections for lines 34, 35 and 61 have been done. The journal format does not include author names in the text but in the Reference list. Therefore lines 105, 133 and 135 remain unchanged.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	When I received your submission, I carried out our first-stage review. It is an interesting paper.	Thank you for your compliments