



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJAEES_17778
Title of the Manuscript:	Computational Economic Analysis of Duck Production at the Farm Household Level in the Context of Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza Subtype H5N1 in the Red River Delta, Vietnam
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract: results suggests must be result suggest Introduction: Line #16: in the Line#19: ducks and geese,(there should be a comma after geese Line #20: and or susceptible and cancel "at risk" Line #24: must read " as described by3 & 4 Line#30.-31: even though ¹ is indicated in the as the exchange rate at the bottom of the page, it must be defined thoroughly within the write up. Line #40: compulsory culling out (stamping out conducted)	Edited Edited Edited Edited "Poultry sectors 3 and 4": 3 and 4 are the groups of poultry producers as classified by FAO. There are 4 sectors: "Sector 1- industrial integrated production with birds or products marketed commercially. Sector 2 - commercial poultry production with birds or products sold through slaughterhouses or live poultry markets. Sector 3 - smallholder commercial poultry production, including water fowl, with birds or products usually sold through live-bird markets. Sector 4 - village or backyard production with birds or products consumed locally" We would like to keep the words as written Edited Edited: compulsory stamping out by culling birds from contaminated farms.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line #51: cull out Line #60-61: profit maximization Line #70: and assume Line #74: scenario Line #114: actions Line #247: insert the same “pie” as shown in the equations Line #253: Data was collected or different data sets were collected Line #256: gathered, Data set Line #272: All tables must be done in word, but not from Excel and then importing them into manuscript, and a table must not be truncated between two pages. Line #295: Define and symbol or abbreviation used in any table and all tables must be autonomous from each other e.g. prob=probability, chi2 = chi-squares etc. Line#418: range of the parameters is or ranges of the parameters are or were... (note the subject and the type of conjugated verse to use appropriately Line #445: shared Line#468: It does... Line #470: economic sectors such as tourism, aquaculture, x,y, z etc. You cannot say economic sectors and mention only one sector, give a couple of examples. References: Using Reference # 1. as an example: 1. Minh PQ, Morris RS, Schauer B, Stevenson M., Benschop J, Nam, HV et al. 2009. Spatio-temporal epidemiology of highly pathogenic avian influenza outbreaks in the two deltas of	“Cull” in a common word used in the field. We would like to keep it as written. Edited Edited This sentence refers to a specific farming system. It is not a scenario farming system. We would like to keep it as written. Edited Edited “Data” used in the manuscript is a plural noun. We would like to keep it as written Edited “gathered”, We would like to keep “data” as written We prepared the table in word and copied numbers from excel or Stata software to word. Edited Edited Do you mean “showed”? Edited Edited Edited: We have added animal feed industry, aquaculture and trade and commercial sector, etc Edited: We have edited some references with “et al” as seen in your example 1
--	--	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Vietnam during 2003–2007. Preventive veterinary medicine. 2009; 89:16–24. 2. Minh P.Q., Morris R.S., Schauer B., Stevenson M., Benschop J., Nam, et al. 2009. Spatio-temporal epidemiology of highly pathogenic avian influenza outbreaks in the two deltas of Vietnam during 2003–2007. Preventive veterinary medicine. 2009; 89:16–24.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I do not know what guidelines the Journal had set for referencing, however, initials of authors must be punctuated and when using e.g. “Albert XY , Norbert, AB et al.2007” it should be only Albert, et al., 2007 in the manuscript whereas in the reference list, bring in all the authors and it should not be Albert et al, Norbert, AB , Elsie ,MN, 2007. Please recheck all your References and punctuate the initials. Use a comma to separate the last (surnames)names from the initials.	We have double checked the guideline on references. We confirm that we followed journal specifications.