SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_AJAEES_18112**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Analysis of Resource Use Efficiency in Tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) Production in Kogi State, Nigeria**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Must be** clarified the **year of the survey.** Please, add some **statistical data of tomato (ha).** Also try to add some data on fertilizer and pesticide usage on arable land at the same time! Chapter Conclusion is too short (discussion is missing!) – **must be extended**. You must reflect to the literature.	Effected No relevant data to that effect Effected
Minor REVISION comments	Extend material and methods: why was chosen Cobbs-Douglas function?	Effected
Optional/General comments	What the Reviewer is missing: the aspect of the production structure, so in the future researches you should deal with that question. The paper is well written, is a scientific one.	Agreed