



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJAEES_4398
Title of the Manuscript:	FOOD SECURITY AND COPING STRATEGIES OF SELECTED VILLAGES ALONG RIVER RIMA AFFECTED BY FLOOD IN SOKOTO STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

[\(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline\)](http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper address important aspects, but there is a lot of aspects, which has to be improved in case of publication: 1. It is not clear, what is real purpose of provided research. In conclusion is not clear, how the information will be used. Without any adoption strategy, the paper describes only small scale analysis, where results could be relatively easy predictable. Described actions doesn't bring no important innovation in such type of analysis and also results are not very innovative 2. The scale of analysis was provided with small samples and there is question, if such results are statistically significant. Probably will be important to be focused more on qualitative aspects then on quantitative The paper is written in now fully clear form and large parts of texts are very generis. There is mentioned large number of different problems, which affect food insufficiency, but from methodology is not clear if the provided analysis are really focused on this problems. The paper define three indexes, but the results of this indexes are not discussed in paper.	-purpose have been provided (line 74-76) -Don't understand what you mean by adoption strategy. -pertaining to innovativeness of research, I am sorry this is my own effort, but for the sake of knowledge, the reviewer could elaborate. -sample size is about 33% of the sample frame which literature has shown to be statistically enough. -the paper mentioned factors like climate, social, economic, erratic weather patterns and low fertile soils as factors that could affect food security. But the sentence that follows that (line 68-74) made mention of the fact that the paper is trying to relate food insecurity the flood experienced in 2010. -two indices were discussed: food security index and coping strategy index and the results of the indices have discussed in the paper.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		