



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_15750
Title of the Manuscript:	Cost-benefit analysis for agroforestry systems in Vietnam
Type of Article	Research

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The revised manuscript is much improved, but still need to make some corrections. 1. Spelling error is seen throughout in the manuscript. 2. Can't see any logic to include first two sections (<i>Main agroforestry systems in Vietnam, Tree species description</i>) in the 'material and method' section, move them to the introduction section. 3. Short description of the study site is needed in the 'material and method' section. How many households were selected for this study? What is the criteria of selecting the households, i.e. which method is used for sample selection? I did not understand the meaning of 'three different households' [For each AFS, we selected three representative models from three different households based on their cultivate areas,.....] 4. Discussion should be based on the result of the research and relate it to other literatures based on its (result) similarities or dissimilarities. 5. Conclusion should not be similar as abstract. 6. I did not understand what does the word- 'property reduction' mean as the key word 7. AUTHOR HAS TO BE VERY CAREFUL WHILE WRITING A SCIENTIFIC PAPER, IT IS STRONGLY RECOMMENDED TO READ RELATED SCIENTIFIC PAPERS (many of them are AVAILABLE ONLINE)	In revised manuscript, yellow highlights are reorganized structures from previous one and blue highlights are new texts following comments from reviewer. 1. it was checked through manuscript 2. we already reorganized structure of manuscript following your comments in yellow highlights 3. We made it, thank for comments. We added paragraphs in blue highlights in data collection section. 4. We added a paragraph in Discussion section 5. We deleted conclusion 6. We deleted 'property reduction' keyword Thank you for your valuable comments.