



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_15904
Title of the Manuscript:	Perception of Rural Farmers about Family Planning in Ondo State
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
I want to commend the author for providing this updated version of the paper. However, serious flaws still exist in this paper especially in the methodology. The researcher failed to provide the readers the research methods that were used for this study. The researcher only talked about the study area and sampling techniques which i know there are more to that when we talked about methods used for conducting a particular research. Secondly, the researcher stated in the abstract that accidental sampling was used but stated in the methodology that snowball sampling was used. The researcher should please explain the accidental sampling and how this was used for this study. I am actually more particular about the methodology. The researcher needs to make this elaborate to give room for replication of this research by other researchers who may want to replicate this in their environment. The researcher should talk about study scope, inclusion and exclusion criteria, sampling technique and sample size calculation, method of data collection, data management and analysis and even the limitation. In conclusion, the researcher should tell us the state of family planning in the study area especially among the target population. And finally, the researcher should tell us the ethical principles that were followed in this researcher. I want to know if the researcher gets ethical approval for this study and if consent of the respondents were taken.	Thank you for the comments, accidental sampling was mistakenly used in the abstract as the synonym for snow balling. But it has been corrected. The state of family planning among the target group based on the results from this study has been indicated in the conclusion. Some of them were aware of family planning but not all but a few has adopted it. The only exclusion criteria employed was that children were not allowed to participate but only those who were reasonably matured enough to have the knowledge of family planning. This study was carried out as an original research. No ethical clearance was obtained because the study did not use any hospital but community members using snow balling technique. But informed consent was obtained from each of the respondents. Thanks for the well pointed mistakes.