SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_AJAEES_15990**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Performance Study of Koshihikari Rice Variety and Its Economic Prospect in Comparison with Other Three High Yielding Rice Varieties of Bangladesh**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**It is very simple experiment conducted by the author. The purposed title can also modify for its simplification. The introduction portion and methodology portion is more or less good. There must be some changes necessary for good article/paper. Regarding to results and discussion portion, author is able to present the results only and very less discussion. No any correlation between yield and yield attributing characters are found. Some tables need to place in annex portion and can use the main references from there. English language used is very simple and in some paragraphs, there must be changed the sentences which I have pointed out. I have attached a detailed comment list with this review form Please send that comment sheet to author for its improvement.** Plagiarism is suspected from 20-64% in the paragraphs of introduction part. Author should modify the sentences to protect from plagiarism notice.	Thanks for insightful review. I have corrected the article according to the guideline given hare until that contradict the given template by SDI and intended goal of the study. I am really happy to be found my article reviewed in a detail that helps me much for correcting the article very easily and shortly.
Minor REVISION comments	Conclusion and references portion are also necessary to change. The uniformity is not found in all references. The author should follow the same trend in all references. I have mention the questions and justifications in different places to increase the standard of this article. There are	In case of the reference, I followed the standards of SDI given by temple and all the references were also corrected accordingly.

	some lines taken from different sources in introduction chapter should modify the sentences to protect from plagiarism notice.	
Optional/General comments	For the betterment of this paper, the author should incorporate the comments and modify the paper. The English sentence should be modified and write in proper way. S/he should try to protect the paragraphs from plagiarism notice. Discussion portion is very weak.	These suggestions were accepted and corrections were done for the betterment of the paper.