



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJAEES_16227
Title of the Manuscript:	Forced-Urbanization: an Alienation of Urbanization in China
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Editorial Comments:

	Editor's comment	Author's comment
Compulsory REVISION comments	- The English language of the manuscript needs to be double checked. Sentence structures must be clear and if translated from other languages , the author/s must avoid the word by word translation. - The figures, such as 189,000,000 , 250,000,000 and others must be written using the simple form like 189 million and 25 million instead. - In most pages of the text exist grammar mistakes : Examples: - In the Introduction add (by) in " ... rate of urbanization in China is lower by 20-25% than in most developed countries. - It was stated in several parts of the article that "... were forced to lost land..." Should be corrected to (lose land) It was stated that " For example, migrants made a great contribution to China' urbanization" - Correct to " .. great contribution to China's urbanization" - In several parts of the text, the authors mention " ...a price of ¥50,000 per mu of land, one family usually get ¥200,000.." what is mu stands for?? - It was stated in the text that "....assuming that farmers' average life-span is 70 years and retirement age is 55". There is no such expression in English. It should be corrected to (Life expectancy ... - It was stated " the demolition of buildings carrying	Thanks for editor's comment. Although the editor may review is the version 1, there is still a lot of good suggestions. - I have tried my best to check and modify the manuscript for several times, in addition, I invited a student from USA to polish it. - The description of figures was modified. - Those grammar mistakes had been corrected added a footnote about "mu". - Life-span was corrected to life expectancy. "the demolition of buildings carrying history" was corrected to "the demolition of historical buildings." - All phrases of "Chinese government" were corrected to "Chinese Government" - All phrases of " New-style urbanization strategy" were corrected to "New-style Urbanization strategy" - I focused on modifying the references according to the format of English journals about references. - I 'm sorry I made a mistake. (Burker, 2004) not (Burker, 2000) is the correct one.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	history. Must be corrected to , the demolition of historical buildings. 6. It was stated " In order to curb the forced-urbanization, Chinese government Should be corrected to " Chinese Government" 7. It was stated that " Accordingly, New-style urbanization..." Always capitalize the new law " Accordingly, New-style Urbанизation 8. List of References must be made according to the APA style. The year comes after the authors' name, not at the end. 9. Names must be put in alphabetical order 10. In the List of References, names were put not as in the text. Examples: Burker, P.J., Identities and Social Structure: The 2003 Cooley-Mead Award Address, in Social Psychology Quarterly, 2004 0 In the text it was (Burker, 2000; Sheldon, 2004). Which one is the correct one ???	10. I have modified other editorial problems; please see the parts with yellow marks. Thanks again.
--	--	---