



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_14959
Title of the Manuscript:	Status Of Postharvest Operations In Upper East Region of Ghana: The Case Of Maize Producers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Nil	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The generic and species names on lines 65, 66 and 69 should be separated. 2. Line 66- <i>Sitophylus</i> should be corrected to "<i>Sitophilus</i>", <i>granaries</i> to be corrected to "<i>granarius</i>" 3. Entries in the 1st column of Table 3 should not be in <i>italics</i>. 4. Check your Tables and be consistent in writing 'frequency' and 'per cent'. 5. Remove anything bold on the tables. 6. There is no consistency in the references: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. SRID (2011) in the text but not on the list. ii. Obeng-Ofori (2008) on the list but not in the text. iii. Osei-Agyeman <i>et al.</i> (2014) on the list but not in the text. <p>The references are generally too scanty. More literature is required to improve the quality of the paper.</p>	<p>This has been done</p> <p>This has been considered</p>
Optional/General comments	Refer to the Journal's guidelines for strict adherence.	