



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJAEES_17086
Title of the Manuscript:	SUPPLY RESPONSIVENESS OF NIGERIAN FISHERIES TO PRICE AND POLICY FACTORS FROM 1971-2010
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. I suggest the author rewrite the abstract in just one paragraph, including all of the items (aim to conclusion). 2. In the introduction I suggest to delete the graphs, describing the data on the text. Both graphs, in my opinion, are unnecessary. 3. In the methodology is appropriated to indicate the software used to simulate the models. 4. In the conclusion I suggest the author explain the expected consequences of increasing fishing personnel and, if this increase will promote the sustainability of the sector.	This has been effected The graph may be useful in understanding the trend of fish production and consumption. This is provided on line 165 This has been done (see line 272-273)
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Math functions can be written using an appropriated software. It is difficult to read.	This not entirely understood we have however made bold the expressions
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		