

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised papeer (if any) Authors' response to final evaluator's comments	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The authors wrote that the derivation of the equations are too big, but none of them did not require the details, but the multiple study would not have been superfluous. The other twonotes authors simply did not respond, although the desired section appeared.	1. The derivation of the equations estimated has been provided in the methodology section 2. a section on literature review is also provided i.e section 2 3. a reduction in the list of references will compromise the integrity of the work by either leaving the sources of certain information uncited or watering down the underpinning theory and literature. Except if this is an editorial principle, I would like to state that all cited literature are important tothe work. It is instructive to note that the concept of supply response analysis in modern times gained prominence in the 1950s after which econometric developments have occurred to expand its usage and its ability to stand the test of time, ignoring these developments in literature undermines a thorough research work. Thanks