



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJAEES_18104
Title of the Manuscript:	TRAINING NEEDS ASSESSMENT OF WOMEN IN LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION AND ITS IMPLICATION FOR ECONOMIC EMPOWERMENT IN OYO STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Topic: Need to be reviewed because only 12% of the women are into livestock production as a primary occupation with engaged as crop farmers are civil service. Suggest livestock farmers be replaced with small scale women farmers or women microenterpreneuers. Sampling need to explain/specify reason why equal number of villages and respondents were selected from each zone particularly in relation to livestock production. Equally the random selected process need to be stated clearly. Perhaps urban vs rural communities need to be separated considering their disposition to the object of the study.	Topic has been changed to "Training need assessment of women in small scale livestock production and its implication for socio-economic empowerment in Oyo State, Nigeria". Equal number of villages and respondents were selected to represent (at least 10%) the sample size because Oyo State has 33 Local Government Areas which is divided into four agricultural zones out of which two zones was selected and the women were also purposively chosen.
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract : good but need to capture main results of the study; recommendations need to be reviewed. Govt 'allow' cannot allow or deny access to credit. Definition of livestock (line 24) is incorrect. It is the animals domesticated not the domestication, Need to acknowledge sources. Few grammatical errors, spelling mistakes (e.g line 2, 47; } Need to recast line 50-53 Methodology: Acknowledge source of pop. Figure Line 69 Results: The discussion and implication need to be re-written as each variable among the personal characteristics need be correctly analysed. For instance	The correction has been done and is coloured yellow in the body of the text. I have done some corrections on the result and discussion aspect and also on references.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	on age 60.2% of the respondents are above 50years of age with mean age 52.9 yrs. Certainly the majority are older women. This has implication for their training needs. Same goes for level of education. References: good but for internet resources, indicate date of access.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This is a good research effort and could be richer if attention is focused more on specific technical and management training needs of the respondents as well precise roles to be played by stakeholders.	I have tried to improve on this aspect also