



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJAEES_18105
Title of the Manuscript:	LEVEL AND DETERMINANTS OF WOMEN FARMERS ACCESS TO INFORMAL CREDIT IN ABIA STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Editorial Comments:

	Editorial comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article was written nicely with good details about the problem of the study. However, little changes are needed to fully cover the topic, especially in the conclusion: It was indicated by the UNDP in the introduction that “ This partly is on account of the fact that most female farmers have limited access to micro-credit which has deterred their productivity and income (UNDP, 1998). The conclusion came to the same fact. I believe that the conclusion should justify, based on the figures and numbers obtained in the study, why this is happening. The conclusions must be in more detail and to cover areas of the study questions or objectives.	Some more explanations were added to the conclusion
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	• Table numbering does not need decimals (Like (Table 1.0 or Table 2.0 ...) . Simply Table 1, Table 2 , Table 3 , etc. • Line 47 “ ... little or no restriction Adebajo, (2010) will no doubt ...	Done Done



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Must be corrected to “ ... little or no restriction (Adebajo 2010) will no doubt • (NRCRI, 2008). Listed in the List of References as (NRCRI, 2003). Needs to be double checked and list the correct one. • (Ibekwe <i>etal.</i>, 2011 was listed in the List of References as (Ibekwe <i>etal.</i>, 2012). Which one is the wright one?? • World Bank, (2003)... Not listed in the List of References. • Lakwo, 2009 > Listed as Lakwo, 2007 in the List of References. Which one is the wright one?? • Oloyode (2008). Misspelled in the List of References. • Mohammed, B.T. (2009). Not listed in the text	Done Wrong observation. Please recheck, two publications from NRCRI were used in the study area (NRCRI 2003 and NRCRI 2008)sub-section, this necessitates the double reference in the list of references. Corrected Ibekwe 2012 is the right one. I have added the reference The correct reference is Lakwo 2007 Thanks have effected correction I have checked you are right. It has been removed
--	---	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	• The title and other sentences in the text needs to be checked regarding capitalization, examples: • (Sampling Technique and Data collection). It must be unified. • Another example, (Level of Women Farmers' Access to each type of Informal Credit Source in Abia State: • Table 3: Binary probit Regression Estimates of factors affecting Access of Women Farmers to Informal credit in Abia State, Nigeria. •	Done Done Done
---	--	-------------------------------------