



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJAEES_18634
Title of the Manuscript:	Formulating optimal farm plans with Child Farm Labour Reduction for arable crop farmers in Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria: An Application of Linear programming and T-MOTAD Models.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	‘Child labor reduction’ in the title seems to be a core issue under study yet in the findings it does not come out clearly. Consider enriching the issue or revising the title. The opening statement on the introduction ‘many literatures’ may not be appropriate but instead review the literature. On page 4 ‘best farm plan’ is there a threshold for best or how are you going to measure best plan. I recommend you get a word that does not leave room for debate because the word best is relative unless a threshold is mention. In your finding I wish you explain the recommendation that will address the mentioned challenge in the earlier works of Akarro and Mtuere 2009 mentioned that; ‘Many parents are still unable and disheartened to send their children to schools when direct costs of uniform, transportation to and from school, books and writing materials need to be supplied from	Corrected Corrected Corrected



SDI Review Form 1.6

	the meager family income (Akarro and Mtuere 2011)'	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		