



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_19948
Title of the Manuscript:	ADOPTION OF GOOD AGRICULTURAL PRACTICE (VietGAP) IN THE LYCHEE INDUSTRY IN VIETNAM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	• The paper is good and well-written. • My major concern is on the analytical approach • The author(s) claim that binary logit model could be used to study extent of adoption of VietGAP. But, this is wrong and has to be corrected. Extent of adoption can be studied using Tobit or other models. But, for the case in point, specification of logit model is enough. • Definition of the dependent variable (adoption status) should be adopters (Y=1) and non-adopters (Y=0). But the way it is defined as high (Y=1) and low (Y=0) is not appropriate. This would urge the authors to switch to other models for ordinal outcome variables like ordered probit or logit. • The use of 8 as cut-off point is not clear! • Another option is to use Poisson regression (a count outcome model) since there are 12 practices as counts.	- The authors would like to thank you so much to the valuable comments from reviewer. - From line 60 to line 67, the authors add more literature reviews on methodology. - Poisson regression can also apply for this case. However, this method requires a large sample size. Small sample size is considered as limitation of the study due to budget and time constrain.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	• The quality of the figures and tables has to be improved	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		