



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_19948
Title of the Manuscript:	ADOPTION OF GOOD AGRICULTURAL PRACTICE (VietGAP) IN THE LYCHEE INDUSTRY IN VIETNAM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Editorial Comments

	Editor's Comment:	Author's comment
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The research article is well written and nicely organized. However, there are few corrections are needed: • The conclusions do not reflect the actual findings of the study. Over half of the conclusions should be listed under the methodology. Results and their explanations should be explained better. • The author/s used a combination of numbers and names in the text for references. Numbers are not used any more in the text, but names of authors. I suggest replacing all numbers with names.	The authors would like to express our appreciation to the valuable comments from reviewers. We would like to revise our manuscript based on your suggestions. - The conclusion was revised based on your comments. - The authors have already deleted the names in the text for references. The numbers are used as reference and were shown clearly in the reference list.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	• Line 244 - Complaint and complaint resolution.... Is not listed in table 8 • Line 218 – Source Primary data 2011- is not listed in the List of References • In line 20, it was mentioned that the total export value is 890 billion VND. Authors should state the currency equivalent in USD or Euros. (The exchange rate of Dollar in Vietnam currency). • Few typing and grammar errors should be corrected: Few Examples : Line 194 - ... in school than the non – VietGAP farms,... Should be in school than the non – VietGAP farmers,	- Line 244: In table 8, the 12th VietGAP practice namely Complaint and resolution of complaint has shown. - Line 218: Source: Primary data, 2011 means the data were conducted from the primary survey of authors in 2011 and there is not necessary to be shown in the reference list. - The exchange rate has been added in the footnote. - Line 194: already corrected - Line 201: We used “were” because the subject is “The average income contributions” instead of “lychee production”. - Line 319, 325, and 327: have been edited.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 201 - lychee production were Should be (was) Line 319 – florida should be Florida Line 325 - Processding should be Proceedings Line 325 – Aricultural should be Agricultural Line 327 – Aproach should be Approach	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		