



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_20636
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Ground Water Resources in Mymensingh Sadar Upazilla
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Title: Country name must be added. "Evaluation of Ground Water Resources in Mymensingh Sadar Upazilla, Bangladesh" Accuracy & precision Line 150: 27.6 m rather than 27.58 m, idem in lines 153, 159, 202 (2668.1 & 922.0 m²/day), 210, 212, 215. Line 163, Table 2: data must have the same precision, please add dimension for the average title (m). Better use 58.0 (max), 6.0 (mini) and 33.8 (average) Fig. 3 is imprecise regarding accuracy of data measured (too little scale) Specific capacity data: Line 211. Note 7.3 . 10⁻⁴ cumec/m instead of 0.00073. Idem in lines 213, 215, 218 (tab 5). All Tables. Data must be written and ranged in column correctly. Tab 1, 2, 4, 5, 7 & 8 Ex. 119.28 91.14 103.32 Not 119.28 91.14 103.32	Yes. Correction has been done. Correction has been made according to reviewer's comment. Correction has been done. Correction has been made according to reviewer's suggestion.



SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments	Abstract: line 19. is must deleted line 21 (key mords: "Ground water" is repeated from the title. Might be replaced by pumping test Introduction Line 54: ... in planning groundwater resource ... "and" must be deleted. Material & methods Incorrect writing of formulas : $T = 2.3 Q / 4\pi \Delta S$ $S = 2.25 T_0 / r^2$ Results & Discussion Line 145 & 178: fine sand instead of "line sand" Line 181: LEGEND not "LESEND" Line 206: ... are other important parameters that indicate ... Line 245: ... groundwater was in a decline trend in the recent years. References in text: Line 214: Preferable to write Ahshan <i>et al</i> [10] reported that ... Instead of [10] Reported that ... Idem lines 198, 200, 226, 285 Line 84 & 85: mn or min instead of minutes	Correction has been done. Correction has been done. Correction has been done. Correction has been done. Correction has been done. This section has been changed according to suggestion.
Optional/General comments		