



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_18690
Title of the Manuscript:	Livelihood Improvement of Tea Garden Workers: A Scenario of Marginalized Women Group in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Editorial comment

	Editor's comment	Author's comment
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Few notes and corrections are needed: • Why did the authors select the four point scale? The 5 point scale will give more detailed answers? • Authors used the numbers to indicate authors in the text. It is suggested to use names not numbers in the text. • Authors used a combination of names and numbers in the text (example, Barkat et al., According to Rajasenan in spices ... , The result is supported by Khawas, etc. A one system is recommended to be used. • The use of kg. Instead of tons to show export or import is not used. Example, ... Producing more than 54 million kg of tea.... • Finally, the conclusions do not fully cover all the results. Also suggestions are preferred to be listed as a solution to the problems.	• The more (i.e. 4, 5, 6, ...) point scale used, the more accurate & detailed answers are obtained. The authors adopted the methodology of Haque, where four point rating scale was used for livelihood improvement. • The authors took a paper from this journal as a sample (Karani-Gichimu et al.; AJAEES, 5(3): xxx-xxx, 2015; Article no.AJEA.2015.046) and found that numbers are used in text, so we followed this style. However, names are now used in text. • "54 million kg" this was directly copied from the reference (BTRI 2003), so, no modification is done with this measuring unit. • Conclusions are modified and suggestions are listed as solution to the problems.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		