SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJAEES_18889**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effects of the agro-net technology on management and financial profitability of cabbage and pepper production systems in Benin**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Some literature was not been in reference Section (such as Tiamiyou, 2002; Adegbola et al., 2001; Adegbola et al., 2004; CIRAD). I think Net Income is not calculated truly. And instead of Benefit / Cost ratio author/s use relative profit.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**Suleyman Demirel University, Turkey**