



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJAEES_12545
Title of the Manuscript:	PROGRESSIVITY OF GOVERNMENT SPENDING ON PRIMARY HEALTH CARE: EVIDENCE FROM RURAL COMMUNITIES OF EDO STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. This study did not show any results of interview from participants? 2. The results section directly show Fig 5, what was Fig1-4? 3. There was 306 respondents/sample for primary data, but how much population in rural areas of Edo state? Was it representative?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Please describe the context of questionnaire and interview schedule. 2. Please explain the process of participants' recruitment. How many participants were recruited (primary study) and studies from Journal to obtain the secondary data? 3. Line 67, 68: Section 1.1 and 1.2 was incorrect edition. 4. Did this study obtain ethical approval?	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yu-Ping Lin
Department, University & Country	Department of Nursing, Oriental Institute of Technology, New Taipei City, Taiwan