

Application of SSR markers for genetic purity analysis of parental inbred lines and some commercial hybrid maize (*Zea mays* L.)

Daniel I.O.¹, Adetumbi J.A.^{2*}, Oyelakin O.O.³, Olakojo S.A.⁴, Ajala M.O.¹ and S.O. Onagbesan³

¹Department of Plant breeding and Seed Technology, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta. Nigeria.

² Grain Legumes Improvement Programme, Institute of Agricultural Research and Training, P.M.B. 5029, Moor Plantation. Ibadan. Nigeria.

³ Biotechnology Centre, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta. Nigeria.

⁴Maize Improvement Programme, Institute of Agricultural Research and Training, P.M.B. 5029, Moor Plantation. Ibadan. Nigeria.

ABSTRACT

Aims: Morphological evaluation of seeds and growing plants used for certification for purity and variety distinctness in Nigeria is time consuming and expensive. This experiment set to evaluate the usefulness of SSR markers to determine genetic purity of commercial hybrids and their inbred lines.

Place and Duration of Study: Bioscience unit, International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Nigeria in December, 2011

Methodology: Seedlings of four F1 hybrids and four inbred lines were grown in the screen house of IITA for DNA extraction using Dellaporta method with some modifications. Six Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR) markers were used for Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) using Touch-Down PCR profile. The analysis is by fragment analysis as present (1) or absent (0). Mathematical equation to determine genetic purity of the genotypes was developed from the genetic distances matrix.

Results: Simple descriptive analysis revealed that average genetic diversity and polymorphism information content (PIC) recorded by the markers was 0.592 and 0.512 respectively. Genetic purity level of inbred lines ranged between 91.3% and 98.7% while the hybrids ranged between 81.3% and 95%.

Conclusion: SSR markers are powerful biotechnological tool capable of detecting genetic purity status of Nigerian maize hybrids therefore inclusion of DNA analysis of seeds using SSR markers to determine genetic purity of maize seed is recommended. However, further research work with larger number of seed samples per variety will be needed to validate reliability.

Keywords: Maize, Genetic purity, DNA analysis, SSR markers

* Tel.: +2348055308715.

E-mail address: jaadetumbi@yahoo.com.

1. INTRODUCTION

Maize accounts for between 30 and 60% of food calories and dietary proteins in developing countries, especially in foods used for weaning infants. The grain is processed into several local dishes and consumed by both young and old (Showemimo *et al*, 2007). It has made a major contribution to the GDP of Nigeria. Industrially, it is used in the production of flour, livestock feed, processed food (such as cornflakes), sweeteners for soft drinks, pharmaceuticals, and malt for brewing beer. Several breeding projects had led to evolution of improved crop varieties in the past five decades in Nigeria. For instance, about 40 inbred lines of maize had been released by public agricultural research institutions to commercial seed companies for hybrid seed production (NACGRAB, 2004). Recent achievements by breeders in the development and release of superior varieties of maize with higher yield potentials and better resistance to insect pests and diseases has played a major role in increasing maize production in Nigeria (Iken and Amusa, 2004). To meet varied and diversified uses of maize, five hybrids and fifty-four open pollinated varieties has also been registered and released into Nigeria seed industry (NACGRAB, 2004).

Hybrid seed production of maize involves crossing two diverse homogeneous inbred lines produced by several generation of selfing to produce heterogeneous hybrids. During hybrid seed production, much effort are always been directed towards managing the process to ensure maximum kernel set and high levels of genetic purity (Fonseca *et al*, 2003). The genetic quality of seed is influenced by agronomic practices and the ecological characteristics of the site where the seed is planted. In the production of hybrid maize seed, main source of genetic contamination on the field is self-pollination of the female parent due to incomplete removal of its tassel. This contamination reduces the genetic and physiological quality of the seeds that consequently decreases the crop productivity (Kalinka *et al*. 2006)

Presently in Nigeria, seed certification for purity and variety distinctness is based on morphological evaluation of seeds and growing plants. These evaluation methods often involve field inspection, which are rigorous, resource intensive, time consuming, subject to bias and have very little precision. There are however, good numbers of high precision technologies being used for crop breeding. Among these are electrophoresis (Tkachuk & Mellish, 1980) and use of molecular markers (Perry 2004 and Aliet *et al*, 2008) which saves time and resources. DNA markers seem to be efficient in evaluation and selection of plant material because, DNA markers segregate as single genes and they are not affected by the environment as morphological markers. (Rahman *et al* 2006). Also, SSR marker has been proved to be the currently preferred molecular marker for purity identification in some crops (Yashitola *et al*, 2002) due to its high efficiency and simplicity (Wu *et al* 2010).

Therefore, the objective of this research work is to explore the use of SSR markers to test the genetic purity of two commercial hybrid maize varieties and their inbred parents used in seed industries in Nigeria.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Seed collection

Seeds of two F₁ hybrids and four inbred lines collected from International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA) and two F₁ hybrids provided by Premier Seed Ltd (a private seed company) were used for this experiment (Table 1).

80

81 Table 1: List of the hybrid maize varieties, their inbred parents and sources.

82

Genotypes	Type	Kernel Colour
Oba Super 1 (IITA)	Experimental hybrid	White
Oba Super 2 (IITA)	Experimental Hybrid	Yellow
Oba Super 1 (Premier Seed Ltd)	Commercial hybrid	White
Oba Super2 (Premier Seed Ltd)	Commercial hybrid.	Yellow
1368 (IITA)	Inbred line of Oba Super 1	White
9071 (IITA)	Inbred line of Oba Super 1	White
4001 (IITA)	Inbred line of Oba Super 2	Yellow
KU 1414SR (IITA)	Inbred line of Oba Super 2	Yellow

83 *Seed source in parenthesis.*

84

85 **2.2 DNA extraction and quantification**

86

87 DNA analysis of the varieties were conducted by randomly selecting five seeds per genotype
88 from the seed lot and planted into a one kg plastic container filled with sieved top soil in the
89 screen house of IITA for young shoots to emerge for DNA extraction. DNA extraction was
90 done according to Dellaporta method(Dellaporta *et al*, 1983)with some modification stated
91 byOgunkanmiet *al* (2008). Extracted DNA was tested for concentration and quality on 1%
92 w/v agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide. The gel was run at 90V for 30 minutes in 1%
93 running buffer.

94

95 **2.3 Primer Screening and Polymerase Chain Reaction**

96

97 Forty one Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR) primer sets provided by IITA were screened with
98 the maize sample DNA before six that showed polymorphic amplifications (Table 2) were
99 used for Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR). The PCR cycles was carried out with MJR®
100 thermo-cycler (96-wells type) with initial de-naturation at 93°C for 15 minutes followed by 9

cycles at 93°C for 15 seconds, 57°C for 20 seconds, 72°C for 30 seconds and another 24 cycles at 93°C for 15 seconds, 55°C for 20 seconds, 93°C and 72°C for 30 seconds each for 15 seconds, Final elongation was done at 72°C for 5 seconds. This protocol is coded Touch down Simple Sequence Repeat-1 (TDSSR-1) protocol in IITA. The PCR Products were electrophoretically separated on 2 % agarose gels and visualized after staining with Ethidium bromide using Uvitech gel documentation system.

2.4 Data Analysis

Each band was considered as a single allele. Alleles were scored as present (1) or absent (0). Polymorphism information content (PIC) of the SSR markers was assessed with the use of Power Marker software while genetic diversity was estimated using PAleontologicalSTatistics (PAST) analytical software. To calculate the percentage genetic purity of the genotypes, mathematical equation was developed from the genetic distances matrix as follows:

$$\text{Genetic purity(\%)} = 100 - \frac{\sum x_1}{n}$$

Where x_1 = values of genetic distances between the tested seeds and n = no of observations.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Amplification profiles and informative ability of the SSR markers.

[
The amplification profile of the maize genotypes using six SSR markers sets showed alleles of different molecular weight. (Figures1 and 2). All the six SSR markers were able to detect genetic diversity which is defined as the probability that two randomly chosen alleles from the population are different among the maize genotypes (Liu and Muse, 2005)

Information regarding the SSR markers and their informative ability is presented in Table 2. Average genetic diversity and polymorphism information content (PIC) recorded by the six markers was 0.592 and 0.512 respectively. The highest genetic diversity (0.673) and PIC (0.613) was recorded by primer phi96100 while primer phi123 recorded the lowest genetic diversity (0.484) and PIC (0.367). Similar PIC values have been recorded by other researchers in genetic diversity experiments of maize. Legesseet al (2007) recorded average PIC of 0.58 on 56 inbred lines of maize while Banisettiet al (2012) reported average PIC value of 0.49 on 22 maize genotypes. Also, Choukan and Warburton, (2005) has reported average gene diversity of 0.58 in 36 early maturing Iranian maize inbred lines. Since the genetic diversity and PIC values recorded in these studies are within those recorded for genetic diversity studies in maize, these SSR markers are considered useful for genetic purity analysis of maize varieties




Fig.1. PCR amplification products generated using phi96100 primer.

Legend: Lane M: Bioline hyper v ladder100lane DNA marker;

1 = 1368; 2 = Oba Super1(IITA); 3=Oba Super 1(Premier); 4= 9071;




Fig.2. PCR amplification products generated using phi96100 primer.

Legend: Lane M: Bioline hyper v ladder100lane DNA marker;

5=4001; 6=Oba Super 2(IITA); 7= Oba super2(Premier seed); 8=KU1414SR

203 **Table 2: Major alleles, genetic diversity and Polymorphism Information Content of six**
 204 **SSR markers tested on F1 hybrids and inbred lines.**

205

SSR Markers	Sequence	Major Alleles	Genetic diversity	PIC
phi072	F- <u>ACCGTGCATGATTAATTTCTCCAGCCTT</u> R- <u>GACAGCGCGCAAATGGATTGAACT</u>	0.457	0.642	0.589
phi109642	F- <u>CTCTCTTTCCTTCCGACTTTCC</u> R- <u>GAGCGAGCGAGAGAGATCG</u>	0.500	0.616	0.542
phi123	F- <u>GGAGACGAGGTGCTACTTCTTCAA</u> R- <u>TGTGGCTGAGGCTAGGAATCTC</u>	0.591	0.484	0.367
phi423796	F- CACTACTCGATCTGAACCACCA R- CGCTCTGTGAATTTGCTAGCTC	0.553	0.495	0.372
phi96100	F- AGGAGGACCCCAACTCCTG R- TTGCACGAGCCATCGTAT	0.444	0.673	0.613
umc1136	F- CTCTCGTCTCATCACCTTTCCCT R- CTGCATACAGACATCCAACCAAAG	0.526	0.644	0.597
Mean		0.512	0.592	0.510

206
 207
 208 **3.2 Estimates of genetic distance among the inbred lines and hybrids**
 209

210 Genetic distance (GD) indices computed by 0/1 method using the six SSR markers are
 211 presented in Table 3. Average genetic distances among the seeds of each genotype are
 212 rectangular enclosed and ranged from 0% (between seed 2 and 3 of 1368, 9071 and
 213 KU1414SR) to 2.6% (between seed 1 and 3 of Oba Super 2 IITA). Highest genetic distance
 214 recorded among the genotypes was 2.6%, thus they can be considered genetically similar.
 215 Research findings using SSR marker for seed purity are few, but SSR markers used for
 216 diversity studies has recorded genetic distance range of 0-53% among 14 genotypes of
 217 maize using four SSR markers (Sedafet *al* 2010)

218
 219 Genetic purity of each genotype calculated from the standard genetic distance indices is
 220 presented in Table 4. The result showed that the inbred parents of Oba Super 1 (1368 and
 221 9007) recorded higher purity of 97.3% and 98.7% while inbred parents of Oba Super 2 (4001
 222 and KU-1414SR) purity were 96% and 91.3% respectively. The result clearly confirmed the
 223 fact that the purity level of the inbred parents determines the purity of the hybrids because

224 Oba Super 1 that has higher purity level of inbred parents' recorded higher purity than Oba
225 Super 2 from both sources despite the fact that the inbred parents used for this experiment
226 was collected from only IITA.

227

228 **3.3 Cluster analysis**

229

230 The clustered dendrogram based on genetic distance matrix and obtained with unweighted
231 pair group method arithmetic (UPGMA) average displayed two main groups (Fig 2). Most of
232 the hybrid seeds collected from IITA were clustered together in group one. Also, one sample
233 each of hybrid seed from Premier Seed Ltd (Oba Super 2) and its inbred parent (KU-1414-
234 SR) clustered into same group.

235

236 Relationships among seed samples clustered into group two is clearly sub-divided into
237 another five sub groups (A-E). Each cluster formed several small groups and revealed the
238 genetic divergence within the genotypes and among the seed samples. All the tested
239 samples of Oba Super-1 (Premier), 4001 and 1368 were clustered as group A, B and C
240 respectively indicating close relationship and high purity level. Similarly, samples of 9071
241 were clustered together in group D with one of the samples of Oba Super-1 (IITA). The last
242 group comprises of two samples each of Oba Super-2 from Premier Seed Ltd and its inbred
243 parent (KU1414SR).

244

245 This result revealed a clear demarcation between most of the genotype indicating
246 differentiation of the inbred lines into groups due to genetic diversity and also the hybrids
247 according to their source. The broad genetic diversity detected within and among the seed
248 samples demonstrates the genetic purity that exists among the tested samples as well as
249 the potentials of using SSR markers for genetic purity analysis in maize.

250

251

252

253
254

Table 3: Standard genetic distances indices among and between the inbred parents and the hybrids

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
1	0	0.04	0.04	0.09	0.11	0.19	0.13	0.11	0.11	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.13	0.15	0.17	0.11	0.20	0.11	0.13	0.11	0.11
2		0.00	0.00	0.09	0.11	0.22	0.09	0.11	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.06	0.13	0.15	0.20	0.11	0.24	0.07	0.17	0.07	0.07
3			0.00	0.09	0.11	0.22	0.09	0.11	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.06	0.13	0.15	0.20	0.11	0.24	0.07	0.17	0.07	0.07
4				0.00	0.06	0.17	0.15	0.13	0.13	0.09	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.13	0.11	0.11	0.13	0.15	0.13	0.19	0.17	0.15	0.17	0.17
5					0.00	0.11	0.13	0.11	0.15	0.15	0.17	0.17	0.09	0.11	0.09	0.17	0.11	0.09	0.19	0.13	0.19	0.09	0.19	0.19
6						0.00	0.20	0.15	0.22	0.22	0.24	0.24	0.17	0.15	0.17	0.24	0.11	0.06	0.26	0.02	0.26	0.13	0.26	0.26
7							0.00	0.06	0.02	0.09	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.13	0.07	0.11	0.20	0.22	0.13	0.22	0.09	0.15	0.09	0.09
8								0.00	0.07	0.11	0.13	0.13	0.09	0.11	0.09	0.13	0.15	0.17	0.11	0.17	0.11	0.13	0.11	0.11
9									0.00	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.06	0.09	0.19	0.24	0.11	0.24	0.07	0.17	0.07	0.07
10										0.00	0.02	0.02	0.09	0.11	0.09	0.06	0.19	0.24	0.07	0.24	0.11	0.17	0.11	0.11
11											0.00	0.00	0.07	0.09	0.11	0.04	0.20	0.26	0.09	0.26	0.13	0.19	0.13	0.13
12												0.00	0.07	0.09	0.11	0.04	0.20	0.26	0.09	0.26	0.13	0.19	0.13	0.13
13													0.00	0.02	0.04	0.11	0.13	0.19	0.17	0.19	0.17	0.15	0.17	0.17
14														0.00	0.06	0.13	0.15	0.17	0.19	0.17	0.19	0.13	0.19	0.19
15															0.00	0.15	0.13	0.19	0.17	0.19	0.13	0.15	0.13	0.13
16																0.00	0.24	0.26	0.09	0.26	0.13	0.19	0.13	0.13
17																	0.00	0.06	0.15	0.09	0.15	0.13	0.15	0.15
18																		0.00	0.20	0.04	0.20	0.07	0.20	0.20
19																			0.00	0.24	0.04	0.13	0.04	0.04
20																				0.00	0.24	0.11	0.24	0.24
21																					0.00	0.13	0.00	0.00
22																						0.00	0.13	0.13
23																							0.00	0.00
24																								0.00

255
256

Legend: 1-3=1368;4-6=Oba Super 1(IITA);7-9=Oba Super1(Premier seed);10-12=9071;13-15=4001;16-18=Oba Super 2(IITA);19-21=Oba super-2(Premier seed); 22-24=KU-1414STR

258 **Table 4: Genetic Purity (%) calculated from the Genetic distance indices**

Genotype	Genetic distance (%) between			Average genetic distance between the genotypes $(\frac{\sum abc}{3})$ (d)	Calculated genetic purity (%) $(100 - \frac{\sum abc}{3})$
	Seed 1 and 2 (a)	Seed 1 and 3 (b)	Seed 2 and 3 (c)		
1368	4	4	0	2.67	97.3
Oba Super 1 (IITA)	6	17	11	11.33	88.7
Oba Super 1 (Premier Seed)	6	2	7	5.00	95.0
9007	2	2	0	1.33	98.7
4001	2	4	6	4.00	96.0
Oba Super 2 (IITA)	24	26	6	18.67	81.3
Oba Super 2 (Premier Seed)	24	4	24	17.33	82.7
KU 1414SR	13	13	0	8.67	91.3




Figure 2: Dendrogram of the hybrids along with their inbred parents developed from SSR data using PAST software

4. CONCLUSION

This study has shown that SSR markers are powerful biotechnological tool capable of detecting genetic purity status of Nigerian maize hybrids. It can be concluded that the inclusion of DNA analysis using SSR markers to determine genetic purity of maize seed is recommended, though more numbers of seed will be required for more precision.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This publication was supported by Alliance for Green Revolution in Africa (AGRAPASS) project 2010-038, Institute of Agricultural Research and Training, ObafemiAwolowo University, Ibadan. Nigeria

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Ali M. A., et al. (2008). Hybrid authentication in upland cotton through RAPD analysis. *Aus. J. of Crop Sci.* 2(3) 141-149.
2. Banisetti K., et al. (2012). Identification of candidate gene-based SSR markers for lysine and tryptophan metabolic pathways in maize (*Zea mays*). *Plt.Bre.*131 (1) 20–27
3. Choukan R. Warburton M. I. (2005). Use of SSR data to determine relationships among early maturing Iranian maize inbred lines. *Maydica* (50) 163-170
4. Dellaporta S.L., et al. (1983). A plant DNA mini preparation version 11. *Plant Molecular Biology reporter* 1: 19-21
5. Fonseca, A. E., et al. (2003). Tassel morphology as an indicator of potential pollen production in maize. *Crop Management*. Online.*doi*:10. 1094/CM-2003-0804-01-RS.
6. Iken J.E., Amusa N.A. (2004). Maize research and production in Nigeria. *African J. of Biotech.* Vol 3(6) 302-307
7. Kalinka Carla Padovani de Carvalho Salgado; et al (2006). Genetic purity certificate in seeds of hybrid maize using molecular markers. *Rev. bras. sementes* Vol.28 no.1 Pelotas
8. Legesse B.W., et al. (2007). Genetic diversity of Maize inbred lines revealed by AFLP markers. *African Crop Sci. Conference Proceedings* (8) 649-653
9. Liu K, Muse S.V., (2005). Power marker. Integrated analysis for environment for genetic marker data. 21(9) 2128-2129
10. NACGRAB (2004). Crop Varieties released and registered in Nigeria. Publication of National Centre for Genetic Resources and Biotechnology, MP Ibadan, Nigeria
11. Ogunkanmi A L, et al.. (2008). An improved method of extracting genomic DNA from preserved tissues of *Capsicum annuum* for PCR amplification. *EuraAsian J. of BioSci* 2, 115-119
12. Perry D.J. (2004). Identification of Canadian durum wheat varieties using a single PCR. *Theor. App. Gen.* 109 (1):55-61.
13. Rahman M.A, et al.. (2006). Molecular Characterization of Black Bengal and JamunaPari Goat Breeds by RAPD Markers. *Ame. J. of Animal and Veterinary Sci.* 1 (2): 17-22
14. Sedaf B., et al.(2010). Genetic analysis of some commonly grown genotypes of maize in Pakistan using maize specific simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers. *Current Res. J. of Bio. Sci.* 2(4):259-261.
15. Showemimo F.A., et al.. (2007). Evaluation of divergence of agronomic and nutritional traits in quality protein maize. In Demand-driven technologies for sustainable maize production in West and Central Africa. Proceedings of the fifth biennial regional maize workshop, IITA-Cotonou, Bénin, 3–6 May, 2005. WECAMAN/IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria. 515 pages
16. Tkachuk, R. Mellish, V.J. (1980). Wheat cultivar identification by high voltage electrophoresis. *Ann. Technol. Agric.*, 29: 207–212
17. Wu M., et al. (2010). Rapid and reliable purity identification of F1 hybrids of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) using SSR markers. *Plt.Bre* 4 (3) 381-384
18. Yasshitola J., et al. (2002). Assessment of purity of rice hybrids using microsatellite and STS markers, *Crop Sci.* 42: 1369-1373