



SDI Review Form 1.5

PART A:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012/AJEA/1447
Title of the Manuscript:	<i>TECHNICAL EFFICIENCY OF TOMATO PRODUCTION: THE CASE OF IRRIGATION COMPANY OF UPPER REGION (ICOUR) IN THE KASENA NANKANA DISTRICT OF NORTHERN GHANA</i>
Manuscript received on (Date)	
Review comment submitted (Date)	

In General ;

- First of all as a research, the manuscript is organized really well and according to that it is tried to assign the factors that are affect the productive effeciency of tomato which is an important agricultural product for Ghana.
- It is not seen any problem at the methodology process of the study in fact the comparision references, that is referred to the findings of the study, are generally intended to the studies that qualified by generally and the products which are not directly oriented with the study.
- The section of the conclusion is inadequate and needs to be supported with the informations about the primary factors which are affect to the technical efficiency.



SDI Review Form 1.5

Line 258 – According to this line, isn't that the facility which is processing tomato that closed for being inefficiency, make the productive efficiency of tomato meaningless? For instance the contract farming can be suggested as a solution.

Lines of 157 – 160 – According to these lines, isn't the difference of efficiency between the producers too much even as described below that difference seems lesser and is only the use of intensively input can explain that situation?

Line 224 – How to get highly increase of technical efficiency.It can be needs explanation.

In my opinion, manuscript needs some minor revision before accepted..

Prof.Dr.Bahattin CETİN



SDI Review Form 1.5

PART B: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part and write here 'Corrected'/ if not agreed, give suitable justifications)</i>
General comment:		
Specific comments:		
Title and abstract		
Introduction		
Review of literature (Heading may differ in the case of review paper)		
Materials & methods (Heading may differ in the case of review paper)		
Results & discussion (Heading may differ in the case of review paper)		
Conclusion		
References		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer