

1           **Socioeconomic Factors Influencing Adoption of CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech**  
2           **technologies among Smallholder Farmers in Central Region of Ghana**  
3

4   **Richard Baffoe-Asare<sup>1</sup>, Jones Abrefa Danquah<sup>\*2</sup>, Festus Annor-Frempong<sup>1</sup>**

5   <sup>1</sup> Department of Agricultural Economics and Extension, School of Agriculture, University of  
6   Cape Coast, Ghana.

7   <sup>2</sup> Faculty of Science and Forestry, School of Forest Sciences, University of Eastern Finland. Post  
8   Office Box 111, Joensuu; Fin-80101, Finland.

9   <sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author: E-mail: abrefad@gmail.com; Tel: +358-504420622/+358-449690362  
10

11   **Abstract**

12   Cocoa (*Theobroma cacao* Linn.) is single most important **agricultural export crop** and major  
13   source of foreign exchange to Ghana. This study examines the socioeconomic factors affecting  
14   adoption of CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech Technology packages introduced by Ghana  
15   government into cocoa production system to address the dwindling levels of productivity. The  
16   study employed a multi-stage random sampling technique to select 250 households from 25  
17   communities in five of the eight cocoa districts in Central Region of Ghana. Tobit multivariate  
18   regression model was used to understand socioeconomic factors influencing farmers' decision to  
19   adopt these technologies. Results generally indicate experience, training, age of household head,  
20   household size and social capital are the key variables that positively influence decision of  
21   farmers to adopt **Cocoa Pest and Disease Control** (CODAPEC) and Cocoa High-Tech  
22   Technology packages. Very old cocoa farms contribute to the non-adoption of these technology  
23   packages by the farmers  
24  
25  
26

27   **Keywords:** Technology attributes, Cocoa technologies, Adoption, Socioeconomic factors, Tobit  
28   model

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Cocoa (*Theobroma cacao* Linn.) is an important export crop for Ghana. The crop accounted for 35.1% of agricultural exports and 4.3% of Gross Domestic Product(GDP) in 2007 (Institute of Statistical Social and Economic Research, 2008), contributed to about 63% of the foreign exchanges earnings from the agricultural sector and employed about 3.2 million workers including smallholder farm families, farm owners and service providers in Ghana (Lundstedt and Parssinen, 2009). Despite the enormous contribution of the cocoa sector to the Ghanaian economy, the sector has been smitten by a myriad of challenges over the years. For instance, the production level of 560,000 metric tonnes recorded in the 1965 declined to the lowest ever recorded of 154,000 metric tonnes in the 1980s (Appiah, 2004). The premier position as number one producer and exporter of cocoa beans in the world has been lost to Cote d'Ivoire; whose annual average production as at 2009 was approximately 1472 000 metric tonnes (Food and Agriculture Organisation, 2010).The average estimated productivity per hectare of 300-400kg in Ghana is very low as compared to countries like Cote d'Ivoire, Malaysia and Indonesia with estimated 800kg, 1800kg and 1000kg productivity per hectare respectively (Anon, 1995; Anim-Kwarpong and Frimpong, 2005; Ministry of Finance, 2002). Moreover, it was estimated that over 25% of the cocoa-tree stocks were over 30 years old. In addition, the old cocoa farmers, whose average age is approximately 50 years were unwilling to take risk by investing in yield improvement strategies due to perceived low returns (Anim-Kwarpong and Frimpong, 2005).

Various reasons cited for the low productivity include low producer price, lack of access to credit or loan facilities, rapid deterioration of the forest environment, poor socioeconomic condition of rural farm communities and most importantly, the general poor maintenance culture especially the control pest and disease of cocoa (Appiah, 2004; Padi and Owusu, 1998; Anim-Kwarpong and Frimpong, 2005; Dormon et al., 2004; Dormon et al., 2007). Nevertheless, initial farm trials conducted by Ghana Cocoa Board indicated that Ghana has potential to achieve an average productivity of over 1500kg/ha if appropriate technologies and agronomic practices are adopted (Ampofo, 1990).

The Government of Ghana initiated two important cocoa technology-based intervention programmes, the Cocoa Pest and Disease Control and Cocoa High Technology (CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech) in 2001 to address some production challenges of the cocoa sector. The programmes also have both social and economic objectives that seek to improve upon the


income and living standards of farm families, maximise foreign exchange contribution to the economy of Ghana, reduce poverty amongst cocoa farmers and to encourage the youth to go into cocoa farming (Vigneri, 2008). The cocoa technologies (CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technology) consist of discrete units of 25 attributes (see Table 2) which mainly targeted the reduction or elimination of the two major cocoa pests namely, capsids and black pod disease in Ghana. COCOBOD has reported an unprecedented historical cocoa production level of 1,004,194 metric tonnes in 2011 partly due to the introduction of CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technologies (Ghana Cocoa Board, 2011). However, very little information was provided to understand the socioeconomic drivers influencing the adoption of CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technologies by farmers. The extent of adoption, adjustment or rejection depends on farmer's behaviour (Valera and Plopino, 1987). The decision to use a technology is dependent on how the farmer perceives the technology (Van de Ban and Hawkins, 1988). Farmers in general may be aware of several constraints to farming which may be at variance with what the researchers perceive (Mutsaers et al., 1997). Smallholder farmers possess a body of indigenous knowledge about the socioeconomic and biophysical environment which are hardly incorporated into development and design of appropriate technologies (Oweremadu et al., 2007). Various reasons have been assigned to the low technology adoption among smallholder famers (ICRAF, 1997). High adoption rates of proven technology among farmers have been associated with proper and effective diagnosis of problems of farmers, involvement in the programme design and encouragement to innovate (Franzel et al. 2001; Onweremadu and Matthew-Njoku, 2007).

The understanding of socioeconomic and biophysical dynamics or interaction that contributes to variation in adoption of technologies by smallholder farmers will unravel the key factors that influence their decisions making process (Matata et al., 2010). Cruz (1987) cited many factors that influence extent of adoption of on farm technologies. Notably among them are the attributes of a technology, the agent of change and the socio-economic, biological and physical environment. Many socioeconomic studies traditionally focused on technology adoption process at both individual farmers and aggregate levels (Feder et al., 1982; Matata et al., 2010). However, this study examined the socioeconomic factors that influence adoption of Cocoa Technologies of smallholder cocoa farmers in Ghana.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Data and the study area

A cross-sectional survey design was adopted for the study and it was conducted from December 2009 to February, 2010 to collect **on-farm level** data for the 2010 crop year from five selected cocoa districts in Central Region of Ghana. A multi-stage random sampling technique was employed to locate the districts, farming communities and farm household (Rahman, 2003). At the first stage, five out of the eight cocoa districts were selected randomly. These were; Cape Coast, Twifo Praso, Twifo Nyinase, Assin Foso and Assin Breku (Figure 1). At the second stage, five communities were randomly selected from each of the selected cocoa districts. **A total of 250 smallholder farm households** from the selected 25 communities (ten from each community) were selected randomly at the final stage of sampling to be involved in the study. The data were gathered through administering of questionnaires (Kumepkor, 2002). **The questionnaires are design to capture both demographic and socioeconomic data.**



Key: Study area 

**Figure 1.** A map showing the five selected Districts for the study in the Central Region of Ghana: - Source (<http://www.ghanadistricts.com/centralregion>, 2009)

## 2.2 Theoretical and conceptual framework

The decision of farmers to adopt any novel technology has been suggested to be based on utility maximization (Rahm and Huffman, 1984). The concept of utility maximization has therefore been used as theoretical or conceptual framework for adoption of many innovations or improved farm technologies (Adesina and Zinnah, 1993; Adesina and Baidu-Forson, 1995; Baidu-Forson, 1999). The decision of farmers to adopt a technology is seen as single unit of package that is whether to adopt or not to adopt. The dichotomous nature of such decisions usually implies that the empirical model be specified as binary dependent variable model (Herath and Takeya, 2003; Adesina and Baidu-Forson, 1995; Rahman, 2003; Udoh et al., 2008).

In the case of CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technologies, the package consists of discrete units of 25 attributes, which most farmers adopt some and leave out others. As a result, the adoption level is expressed as a ratio of number of attributes adopted to the total attributes of the package. The dependent variable is therefore censored and continuous with lower limit designated as zero and the upper limit as one. Additionally, the intensity of adoption demanded that the model be specified as Tobit since binary dependent choice models often throw away some of the useful information concerning dependent variable (Tobin, 1958). The household of cocoa farmers maximize utility over the set of attributes of CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technology package. Following Mazvimavi and Twomlow (2009) analytical framework or procedure, the adoption of CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technologies is specified as Tobit model. The stochastic model of adoption within Tobit modelling framework is presented as follows (McDonald and Moffitt, 1980; Gould et al., 1989):

$$Y_t^* = \beta X_t + \mu_t \quad (t = 1, 2, \dots, N) \quad (1)$$

Where  $Y_t^*$  represents the latent unobserved component of the adoption of CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technologies,  $\beta$  is a  $(k \times 1)$  vector of unknown parameters,  $N$  is the number of observations which represents individual cocoa farmers who participated in the enumeration,  $X_t$  is a vector of the type  $(k \times 1)$  denoting independent variables which capture socioeconomic characteristics of the cocoa farmers and  $\mu_t$  is independent normally distributed error term with mean zero and constant variance  $\sigma^2$  (McDonald and Moffitt, 1980). The observed component of

dependent variable could therefore be denoted as  $Y_t$  this captures the aggregate levels of the total attributes of CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technologies adopted by the cocoa farmers. The conditional terms or probability of adoption are defined as follows (Gould et al., 1989):

$$Y_t = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } Y^* \leq 0 \\ Y^* & \text{if } 0 < Y^* < 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } Y^* \geq 1 \end{cases} \quad (t= 1, \dots, N) \quad (2)$$

Adoption occurs when  $Y_t$  falls within  $0 < Y^* < 1$  and  $Y^* \geq 0$ ; and non-adoption occurs when  $Y^* \leq 0$ . The highest threshold or upper limit of  $Y_t$  is 1 and the lowest limit in this case is 0. Thus, the final operational multivariate Tobit analysis of socioeconomic factors affecting adoption of CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technology is specified as:

$$Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \dots + \beta_n X_n \quad (3)$$

Where the  $X(s)$  are the independent socioeconomic variables and  $\beta(s)$  denote parameter estimates

### 2.3 The empirical model

The empirical model of adoption CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technology to be estimated may be specified as:

$$Adoption = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Experience + \beta_2 AgeHH + \beta_3 Gender + \beta_4 HouseHoldSize + \beta_5 FarmSize + \beta_6 Ageofthefarm + \beta_7 SocialCapital + \beta_8 Training + \varepsilon \quad (4)$$

The detail information about the characteristics of dependent variables is provided in Table 1 and descriptive statistics for all the explanatory variable use in the model are presented in Table 2. Quantitative dependent variable ( $Y_t$ ), which describes adoption decision of individual farmer, consists of 25 metrics of attributes (Table 2). Each attribute of the farmers' adoption was allotted a value of 1 and the total was expressed as the ratio of the overall attributes. For instance, a farmer who adopts 6 attributes of the technology was awarded 6, and  $Y_t$  (adoption) at this instance is 0.24.

166 *Experience* is measured as the number of years the individual household head has been  
167 engaged in cocoa cultivation. Experience enhances skills and facilitates the capacity to address  
168 technical or practical problems related to agronomic principles on the field. With increasing  
169 experience, farmer may be able to make critical decision concerning adoption of new  
170 technology. Hence, experience is expected to be positively related to adoption (Nkamleu and  
171 Adesina, 2000).

172 *Training* gives insight to functioning of new technology and technical ramifications as  
173 well as the challenges expected to be encountered from the application of the said technology.  
174 Training and education are intimately connected. Training increases the level of competency of  
175 farmer which invariable will aid adoption. Training is therefore expected to be positively related  
176 to adoption.

177 *Age<sub>HH</sub>* measures the age of the de facto household head in the model. Age has been used  
178 extensively as explanatory variable in many adoption studies but its influence on adoption is  
179 indeterminate and depends on many factors. Older farmers are more experience and have  
180 accumulated more capital as results they are more likely to invest in innovation (Nkamleu et al.,  
181 1998). Old age increases with conservativeness and negatively impact on adoption while young  
182 farmers tend to be more innovative and risk adverse (Adesina et al., 2000; Zhang et al. 2012;  
183 Gould et al., 1989). Thus, the age of household head is negatively related to adoption (Nkamleu  
184 and Adesina, 2000; Polson and Spencer, 1991). Nevertheless, in this study we hypothesized that  
185 the age of household head was positively related to adoption. This is because older farmers are  
186 perceived to be more experienced and have witnessed the benefits of various government  
187 interventions in the cocoa subsector over the years in Ghana.

188 *Gender* is a dummy variable for sex measured as 1 for male and 0 for female. It is used to  
189 in the model to capture social role rather than sex of individual farmer. Male cocoa farmers are  
190 often more resource endowed than females. In tropical Africa and for that matter Ghana, social  
191 roles play significant impact on resource endowment and distribution within the family  
192 especially in the rural farming communities. Females are usually resources constraints be it land  
193 or other assets by virtue of the inheritance system. Moreover, in most agrarian societies of  
194 Africa, women are generally marginalised in terms of access to information, external inputs as  
195 well as income (Lebbie, 2004; Matungul et al., 2001; Quissumbing et al., 1995; Dey, 1981). This  
196 state of affairs is more pronounced in male dominated cocoa sector in Ghana. In addition, a

gender role affects labour allocation and job description. Gender could be negatively or positively related to adoption depending on the nature or the characteristics of the technology in question (Ntege-Nanyeenya et al., 1997). However, it is hypothesized that gender is positively related to adoption. The *HouseHoldSize* is the household size, which measures the number of individual in the family who eat from the same cooking pot. This variable is normally used in adoption studies to capture labour availability or endowment to a farm household. The fact that CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technology is labour intensive or demanding, it is expected that farm households with large family sizes are likely to supply more labour and readily adopt this technology package (Nkamleu, 2007).

*FarmSize* is the farm size which measures the total land area under cocoa cultivation. Cocoa farmers with large farm sizes are usually wealthy and there is more likelihood that they would readily adopt any high inputs innovation such as CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technology. Secondly, large farm size would facilitate easy realization of the benefits due economy of scale. Thus, farm size is hypothesized to be positively related to adoption (Zhang et al., 2012).

*Ageofthefarm* is a variable which captures the age of the cocoa farm. The age of the cocoa farm is negatively related to adoption of CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technology. This is because most farmers often feel reluctant to spend money on inputs for old cocoa farms due to perceived low returns (Anim-Kwarpong and Frimpong, 2005).

*SocialCapital* is a measure of membership to social organisation such as cooperative society, unions and church. Social capital increases the capacity of an individual to access information about current innovation and its benefit from other members. It also increases individual farmer's awareness and as a result increases the likelihood for adoption of new technology (Foster and Rosenzweig, 1995; Conley and Udry, 2010; Bandiera and Rasul, 2003; Caviglia and Kahn, 2001). All the parameters of the model (4) were estimated in Eviews-7 for windows (IHS Inc., 2011) with Tobit link function using QML(Quasi-Maximum Likelihood) (Huber/White) robust standard errors and Newton-Raphson optimization algorithm.

225

226

227

**Table 1.** Description of the summary statistics and hypothesized direction of influence of the variables specified in the model

| Independent variables | Description/Rational                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Measure                | Expected effect | %        | Mean  | Standard deviation |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------|-------|--------------------|
| Experience            | Experience farmers are less risk averse and more the likely to adopt new technology. This is captured as years of farming                                                                                                      | Years                  | +               |          | 21.72 | 8.48               |
| Age                   | Older farmers are more experience and more risk darling and likely to adopt new technology. This is the age of the male, de facto or de jury household head (Male or Female).                                                  | Years                  | +               |          | 50.87 | 11.41              |
| Gender                | Male farmers in this part of Ghana are resource endowed by virtue of their culture setting and more apt to adopt all the technology attributes. Males are heads of the household.                                              | 1 = Male<br>0 = female | +               | 76<br>24 | 0.76  | 0.43               |
| Household size        | Large household increase availability of labour and hence adoption level. Household size in this case is number of individuals eating from the same cooking pot.                                                               | Number                 | +               |          | 7.23  | 7.21               |
| Farm Size             | Farmers with large farm size are likely to adopt new technology due to significant realization of the benefits.                                                                                                                | hectares               | +               |          | 9.03  | 6.48               |
| Age of the Farm       | Productivity of old farms are very low hence farmers do not see the benefit to invest, hence low adoption levels.                                                                                                              | Years                  | -               |          | 18.13 | 9.71               |
| Social Capital        | Membership to societies enhance their social net work and access to information and more likely to innovate or adopt a new technology. This is measured as membership to social organisation, clubs, marketing companies e.t.c | 1=Yes<br>0= No         | +               | 27<br>73 | 0.27  | 0.44               |
| Training              | Training will enhance the farmer readiness to adopt the technology. This is estimated as whether the farmer has received some form of training on the technology package.                                                      | 1= Yes<br>0 = No       | +               | 28<br>72 | 0.28  | 0.45               |

**Table 2.** Distribution of Adopters and Non adopters of the CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technology packages used as an index for dependent variable in Tobit model (N=250).

| Technology Package      | Description of Technology attributes                                | Adopters<br>(Frequency) | Non-adopters<br>(Frequency) |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Cultural Maintenance    | Removal of basal chupons and overhead canopies                      | 247                     | 3                           |
|                         | Weeding of the cocoa farm regularly                                 | 242                     | 8                           |
|                         | Removal of dead husks and pods                                      | 233                     | 17                          |
|                         | Maintaining some trees in cocoa farm                                | 213                     | 37                          |
|                         | Removal of all hosts on the farm                                    | 184                     | 66                          |
|                         | Drainage                                                            | 69                      | 181                         |
|                         | Use of deep pit to bury dead husks and pods                         | 50                      | 200                         |
| Fertilizer              | Use of Assasewura fertilizer(NPK/ 10:10:10)                         | 246                     | 4                           |
|                         | Use of Sedalco (NPK/ 6:0:20 + TE (trace elements)                   | 99                      | 151                         |
|                         | Use of Cocoafeed (NPK/ 0:30:20)                                     | 64                      | 186                         |
|                         | Application of the fertilizer at the beginning of the rainy seasons | 230                     | 20                          |
|                         | Broadcasting method                                                 | 208                     | 42                          |
|                         | Ring application method                                             | 62                      | 188                         |
| Fungicide               | Use of Ridomil(6% metalaxyl-M and 60% copper (1) oxide)             | 161                     | 89                          |
|                         | Use of Nordox(Cuprous oxide)                                        | 149                     | 1                           |
|                         | Use of Champion(Cupric hydroxide)                                   | 76                      | 174                         |
|                         | Use of Funguran(Cupric hydroxide)                                   | 62                      | 188                         |
|                         | Use of Kocide 101(Cupric hydroxide)                                 | 47                      | 207                         |
|                         | Use of Gold 66(Cuprous oxide + mefenoxam)                           | 12                      | 238                         |
| Fermentation and Drying | Use of sun drying of cocoa beans                                    | 250                     | 0                           |
|                         | Use of less than 5 days for fermentation                            | 12                      | 238                         |
|                         | Use of 5-7 days for fermentation                                    | 238                     | 12                          |

232 **Table 2. (Continued)**

233

| Technology Package         | Description of Technology attributes                         | Adopters<br>(Frequency) | Non-adopters<br>(Frequency) |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Application of Insecticide | Spraying of <i>Akate</i> master(Bifenthrin)                  | <b>213</b>              | <b>37</b>                   |
|                            | Spraying of Confidor(Imidacloprid)                           | <b>201</b>              | <b>49</b>                   |
|                            | Spraying of Actara(Thiamethoxam)                             | <b>135</b>              | <b>115</b>                  |
|                            | Spraying of 2-tankful of Chemical and water mixture per acre | <b>127</b>              | <b>123</b>                  |

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Virtually all the 250 cocoa farmers interviewed used solar energy to dry the cocoa beans and adhered strictly to 5-7 days recommended duration for fermentation of the cocoa beans (see Table 2). Cultural maintenance component of the technology package registered high level of adopters with exception of use drainage system and general sanitation practised such as the use of deep pit to bury dead husks and pods. However, the use of “Assasewura fertilizer” brand seems to be more popular amongst the cocoa farmers. Out of 250 household heads interviewed 246 reported using “Assasewura fertilizer” and 208 employed broadcast method for the application of the fertilizer. The application of fertiliser at the onset of the rain season featured more prominent among the cocoa farmers.

Cursory look at the results of cocoa health and protection management component of CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technology, 213 farmers adopted the use of insecticide “*Akate master*” as against 201 in “*Confidor*”. However, these two aforementioned insecticides registered the highest number of adopters as compared to “*Actara*” which recoded 135 adopters. The top two most popular fungicides amongst 250 cocoa farm household interviewed were “*Ridomil*” and “*Nordox*”, which registered 161 and 149 adopters respectively. Table 3 summarizes the results of the parameter estimates of Tobit regression analysis. On the whole, most socioeconomics variables considered as factors influencing adoption of CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technology in the analysis were observed to be highly significant, with the exception of farm size which was considered not to be significant (Table 3).

In this study, it was hypothesized that experience is positively associated with adoption of CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technology. As expected, the adoption of CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technology was positively and significantly ( $P < 0.001$ ) correlated with experience. The finding is in line with previous studies on different technology packages (Adesina and Baidu-Forson, 1995; Mazvimavi and Twomlow, 2009; Okoedo-Okojie and Onemolease, 2009). The average number of years a farmer has been engaged in the cultivation of cocoa in the communities investigated in the Central Region of Ghana was approximately 21.7 years.

This suggests that farmers have a rich experience of cocoa growing activities under various policy initiatives of past Government in Ghana. As a result, it is not surprising that experience exerted strong influence on adoption of CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technology. **Experienced** farmers are less risk averse and willing to adopt an innovative technology that is perceived to come with high financial rewards and improve their lot. In addition, experience equipped the farmers with sound agronomic competencies and skills that enhance adoption of new technology (Namwata et al., 2010; Tiarniyu et al., 2009; Rahman, 2008).

**Training** was hypothesized to be positively associated with adoption of CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technology. Nevertheless, education level of the household head and training on on-farm application CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technology were found to be significant ( $P < 0.0001$ ) and positively correlated with adoption. These results are consistent with other adoption studies on different technologies and crops (Namwata et al., 2010; Daramola, 1989; Adesina et al., 2000; Onweremadu and Mathews-Njoku, 2007). **Training** facilitates good performance and sharpened the skills of the farmers which invariably enhance adoption of new innovative technology (Meenambigai and Seetharaman, 2003). Education expands individual scope of inference and paradigm, whereas training re-enforces individual's experience and upgrade the skills for effective implementation of any novel technology. Education enhances individual farmer's ability to access and process agricultural information, and the application of information in improving on-farm activities (Huffman, 2001). The training on how to use a new technology is directly embodied or dependent on efficient and effective extension education (Adesina and Zinnah, 1993). In fact, there was mass training of cocoa farmers to facilitate the implementation CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technology through extension division of Ghana Cocoa Marketing Board and with additional campaign through the print and electronic media in the country.

**Age** of the house heads either male, de facto or de jury had strong influence on the adoption of innovative and proven technologies in agriculture. Age as a factor of influencing adoption depends on other latent characteristics of the individual farmers. Young farmers tend to be more innovative and more apt to adopt new technology due to their longer planning and lower risk aversion characteristics (Adesina et al., 2000).

292 **Table 3.** Results of parameter estimates of Tobit model of factors influencing farmers' decision to adopt CODAPEC and Cocoa High-  
 293 Tech technology in Ghana.

| Variable              | Coefficient estimate | Standard error | z-Statistic            | Prob.  |
|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------|------------------------|--------|
| Experience            | 0.014421             | 0.000707       | 20.38702***            | 0.0001 |
| Training              | 0.005397             | 0.013783       | 9.391570***            | 0.0001 |
| Age of the house head | 0.003070             | 0.000453       | 6.776071***            | 0.0001 |
| Gender                | 0.047662             | 0.013346       | 3.571221***            | 0.0004 |
| Household size        | 0.002584             | 0.001255       | 2.058103**             | 0.0396 |
| Farm size             | 0.001194             | 0.001214       | 0.983045 <sup>NS</sup> | 0.3256 |
| Age of the farm       | -0.001630            | 0.000286       | -5.696863***           | 0.0001 |
| Social capital        | 0.031957             | 0.013783       | 2.318547**             | 0.0204 |

294 Log likelihood function = 241.5998; Average log likelihood =0.966399 , LR chi2 (8) =316.54\*\*\*; Pseudo R2= 0.7656

295 Note: \*\*\*, \*\* and \* indicate statistical significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10% levels, respectively. NS: not significant

296

297

Age of the household head can be negatively related to adoption (Nkamleu and Adesina, 2000; Kassie et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2012) or positively be associated with adoption (Wu et al., 2010; Namwata et al., 2010; Adesina and Baidu-Forson, 1995). Gender was represented or captured as social role in the study was observed to be positively and significantly ( $P=0.0004$ ) related to adoption of CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technology. This is obvious cocoa industry in Ghana is male dominated sector of the economy. In this work, the result indicated that the age of the household head significantly ( $P<0.001$ ) and positively influenced adoption of CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technology. This result corroborates with findings of other studies (Wu et al. 2010; Adesina and Baidu-Forson, 1995).

The household size of the farming community is important socioeconomic variable which measures labour endowment in traditional agricultural production. One of the major constraints in cocoa production in Ghana is labour availability to perform certain on-farm task. Cocoa farmers relied on the household members to perform certain labour intensive tasks. This has serious negative impact on adoption of any novel technology which requires intensive-labour technique. For instance in this study under cultural practices (Table 2) out of 250 household interviewed only 69 adopted construction of drainage on their farm because of labour intensive nature of this work. Furthermore, most farmers prefer broadcasting application of fertilizer to ring method of application because of high labour requirement of the later (Table 2). Results presented in Table 3 shows a significant ( $P=0.0396$ ) positive association between household size and adoption of. Farmers with large household size are most likely to adopt the technology. The positive association between household size and adoption of new technology is not uncommon. Similar finding has been reported by Namwata et al. (2010) and Rajasekharan and Veeraputhran (2002).

Farm size was observed to be positively related to adoption of CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technology. However, this relationship was not statistically significant ( $P= 0.3256$ ). In this work, the average age of the cocoa farms in the study area is approximately 18 years (Table 1). The age of the cocoa farm was negatively and significantly ( $P<0.001$ ) related to adoption of this technology. This is an indication that farmers prefer to employ this technology on young cocoa farms that have high financial returns or benefits. The productivity of cocoa farms decline with passing of age. The age of the cocoa farm is one of the factors the cocoa farmers consider in adopting any capital intensive technology. In general, farmers are reluctant to

spend money on old farms because of low yields which normally does not commensurate with capital expenditure. The CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technology are high inputs driven technology with corresponding high cost of expenditure.

The study assumed that there is high probability for a cocoa farmer belonging to societies such as clubs; produce buying cooperative organization and religious society to adopt CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technology. Social capital was therefore hypothesized to be positively associated with adoption. However, as expected social capital was significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) and positively related to adoption. This finding confirms the results of Kassie et al. (2009) and Adesina et al. (2000). Farmers who aggregate in groups through cooperatives societies as way a of selling cocoa beans in Ghana tend to have access to information. High social capital and membership of farmers' organisations or societies enhance accessibility to information (Caviglia and Kahn, 2001). Social links increase the likelihood of the farmer becoming aware of the importance of CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technology and subsequently adopting it. In Ghana, most focal point for disseminating information on agronomic practices and distributions of cocoa farm inputs is through Cocoa Produce Buying Organisations or Cooperative Societies.

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The paper reported on the socioeconomic factors that influence adoption CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technology, a social intervention which seek to boost the productivity of cocoa in Ghana. The empirical analyses showed that with exception of farm size, experience, age, household size, gender, age of the farm, social capital and training are variables that strongly and significantly influence adoption of CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technology.

Institutional extension support to reduce the risk faced by farmers in adopting aspects of the technological package is important since it will reduces the need for detailed information prior to adoption. That is, to overcome non-adoption because of onerous information demands the CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technology, state support is useful. Currently, the policy direction is the incorporation of pluralism in cocoa extension where there is public-private partnership in extension delivery. The authors recommend strengthening of extension outfit of COCOBOD to lead in the training of farmers on latest agronomic practises since the farmers are not in position to pay for extension services.

Experienced, aged and successful farmers should be retrained to act as resource persons since experience and age positively affect adoption of CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technology. The success stories of such farmers can entice the youth to go into cocoa farming. This will go a long to enhance cocoa production levels per unit area comparable to those in Asia and other parts of Africa.

One of the findings of the study is that farmers with very old cocoa farms feel very reluctant to adopt the CODAPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technology. There is the need to focus education of farmers on capability of the technologies in improving the productivity of old cocoa farms. Abandoned old cocoa farms are sources of pest and disease for new farms and have implications for overall success of the programmes. Consequently, the initial policy directive of CODAPEC to offer free mass spray to all cocoa farmers in all the cocoa growing districts in Ghana should be pursued. It will be reasonable for the government to expand the technology package to cover the entire cocoa growing districts in the country to boost production

The role of produce buying companies, cooperative organisation, and clubs etc in disseminating information on this novel technology cannot be overemphasized. This is measured or captured in this paper as social capital. The involvement of social capital in the training of farmers and as conduit for disseminating information or implementing government policy objectives on CODEPEC and Cocoa High-Tech technology will facilitate or enhance the adoption of this technology.

The incorporation of these socioeconomic variables in policy formulation will increase adoption level and productivity of cocoa farms in Ghana. However, it will be appropriate to conduct further studies to find out the rationale behind this observed behaviour.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to School of Forest Sciences, University of Eastern Finland for making literature resources and statistical software available for this paper.

## REFERENCES

- Adesina, A.A., Mbila, D., Nkamleu, G.B., Endamana, D. (2000) Econometric analysis of the determinants of adoption of alley farming by farmers in the forest zone of Southwest Cameroon. *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 80,255-265.
- Adesina, A.A, Zinnah, M.M. (1993) Technology characteristics, farmer perception and adoption decisions: a Tobit model application in Sierra Leone. *Agr. Econ.* 9,297-311
- Adesina, A.A., Baidu-Forson, J. (1995) Farmers' perceptions and adoption of new agricultural technology: evidence from analysis in Burkina Faso and Guinea, West Africa. *Agr. Econ.*, 13, 1-9.
- Agbamu, J.U. (1993) Analysis of farmers characteristics associated with adoption of soil management innovations in Ikorodu Local Government area of Lagos state Nigeria. *J. Rural Ext. Dev.*, 1(2), 51-67.
- Ampofo, S.T. (1990) Adoption of recommended practices, farmer-extension linkage: The First Farming System Workshop. pp 14-16.
- Anon, J. (1995) Causes of recent decline in cocoa production in Ghana and measures to revamp the industry. Report submitted to the office of the President, Accra, pp103.
- Anim-Kwapong, G. J., Frimpong, E. B. (2005) Vulnerability of agriculture to climate change-impact of climate change on cocoa production. Vulnerability and adaptation assessment under The Netherlands climate change studies assistance programme phase 2 (NCCSAP2). Cocoa Research Institute, Tafo, Ghana.
- Appiah, M.R. (2004) Impact of cocoa research innovations on poverty alleviation in Ghana. Ghana Academy of Arts and Sciences. Publications. pp 32.
- Baidu-Forson, J. (1999) Factors influencing adoption of land-enhancing technology in the Sahel: lessons from a case study in Niger. *Agr. Econ.*, 20,231-239.
- Bandiera, O., Rasul, I. (2003) Complementarities, social network and technology adoption in Mozambique. <<http://econ.lse.ac.uk/staff/bandiera/adoption.pdf>>. Assessed on 7<sup>th</sup> June, 2012
- Caviglia, J.L., Khan, J.R. (2001) Diffusion of sustainable agriculture in the Brazilian rain forest: A discrete choice analysis. *Econ. Dev. Cult. Change*, 49,311-333
- Conley, T.G., Udry, C.R. (2010) Learning about a new technology: Pineapple in Ghana. *Amer. Econ Rev* 100 (1), 35-69.
- Cruz, F.A. (1978) Adoption and diffusion of agricultural extension. In an introduction to extension delivery system by Valera JB, Martinez VA and Plopino RF (Editors). Island Publishing House, Manila, pp 97-127.
- Daramola, B. (1989) The study of socio-economic factor influencing fertilizer adoption decisions in Nigeria .a survey of Oyo State farmers. *Fert, Res.*, 20,143-151
- Dey, J. (1981) Gambian women: unequal partners in rice development projects. *J. Dev. Stud.*, 17 (3),109-122

427 Dormon, E. N. A., van Huis, A., Leeuwis, C., Obeng-Ofori, D., Sakyi-Dawson, O. (2004)  
 428 Causes of low productivity of cocoa in Ghana: Farmers' perspectives and insights from  
 429 research and the socio-political establishment. *NJAS-Wageningen J. Life Sci.*, 52,237-  
 430 259

431 Dormon, E.N.A., van Huis, A., Leeuwis, C. (2007) Effectiveness and profitability of integrated  
 432 pest management for improving yield on smallholder cocoa farms in Ghana. *Int. J. Trop.*  
 433 *Insect Sci.*, 27(1), 27-39.

434 FAO (2010) Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) Statistical Year book 2010. FAOSTAT,  
 435 Statistical Division, FAO.

436 Feder, G., Just, R.E., Zilberman, D. (1985) Adoption of Agricultural innovation in developing  
 437 countries .A survey. *Econ. Dev. Cult. Change*, 33(2), 255-298.

438 Feder, G., Just, R.E., Zilberman, D. (1982) Adoption of Agricultural Innovation in Developing  
 439 Countries: A Survey. World Bank Staff Working Paper No.542.The World Bank,  
 440 Washington D.C.

441 Foster, A., Rosenzweig, M. (1995).Learning by doing and learning from other: Human capital  
 442 and farm household change in agriculture. *J. Polit. Econ.*, 103(6), 1176-1209.

443 Franzel, S., Coe, R., Cooper, P., Place, F., Cherr, S. (2001). Assessing the adoption potential of  
 444 Agroforestry practices in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Agric. Syst.*, 69, 37-62.  
 445

446 Herath, P.H.M.U., Takeya, H. (2003) Factor determine intercropping by rubber smallholders in  
 447 Sri Lanka: a logit analysis .*Agr. Econ.*, 29,159-168.  
 448

449 Hussain, M., Zia, S., Abdul, S. (2011) The adoption of integrated pest management (IPM)  
 450 technologies by cotton growers in Punjab. *Soil Environ.* 30(1), 74-77  
 451

452 Huffman, W.E. (2001) Human capital: Education and agriculture, in: G.L Gardner and G.C.  
 453 Rausser, eds *Handbook of Agricultural Economics*, Vol. 1B, Amsterdam, The  
 454 Netherland: Elsevier Science.  
 455

456 Ghana Cocoa Board (2011) Cocobod Hits One Miillion Tonnes Target [http://www. cocobod.gh](http://www.cocobod.gh/news_details2.php)  
 457 [/news\\_details2.php](http://www.cocobod.gh/news_details2.php)> Accessed on 15<sup>th</sup> September, 2011

458 Gould ,B.W., Saupe, W.E., Klemme, R.M. (1989) Conservation tillage: The role of farm and  
 459 operator characteristics and the perception of soil erosion. *Land Econ.*, 65(2), 167-182

460 ICRAF (1997) Annual Report. Int. Center Res. Agrofor., Kenya, Nairobi

461 IHS Eviews (2011) IHS Incorporated, 521 Campus Drive, Irvine, CA, USA

462 Institute of Statistical, Social and Economic Research (ISSER) (2008).The state of the Ghanaian  
 463 economy in 2007. ISSER, University of Ghana, Ghana.

464 Kassie, M., Zikhali, P., Manjur, K., Edwards, S. (2009)Adoption farming techniques evidence  
 465 from a semi-arid region of Ethiopia. *Environmental for Development Discussion Paper*  
 466 *Series, EfD DP 09-01*

467 Kumekpor TKB (2002) Research methods and techniques of social research. University of  
 468 Cape Coast, Cape Coast. Ghana. Sections 1-3. Son-Life press, Accra. pp 305

- Lebbie, S.H.B. (2004) Goats under household conditions. *Small Ruminant Res.*, 51,131-136
- Lundstedt, H., Parssinen, S. (2009) Cocoa in Ghana, Ghana is cocoa: Evaluating reforms of the Ghanaian cocoa sector. Department of Economics, University of Lund, Sweden. Minor Field Study Series No. 198.
- Matata, P.Z., Ajay, O.C., Oduol, P.A., Agumya, A. (2010) Socio-economic factors influencing adoption of improved fallow practices among smallholder farmers in western Tanzania. *Afr. J. Agr. Res.*, 5 (8), 818-823.
- Matungul, P.M., Lyne, M.C., Ortmann, G.F. (2001) Transaction costs and crop marketing in the communal areas of Impendle and Swayimana, KwaZulu-Natal. *Dev. South Afr.*, 18,347-363.
- Mazvimavi K, Twomlow S (2009) Socioeconomic and institutional factors influencing adoption of conservation farming by vulnerable household in Zimbabwe. *Agr. Syst.* 101:20-29.
- McDonald, J.F., Moffitt, R.B. (1980) The uses of Tobit analysis. *Rev. Econ. Stat.*, 62(2), 318-321
- Meenambigai, J., Seetharaman, R.N. (2003) Training needs of extension personnel in communication and transfer of technology. *Agricultural Research and Extension Network Newsletter* Number 482003; pp 19.
- MoF [Ministry of Finance, Accra, Ghana] (2002) Internal Memo. Unpublished Internal Government document.pp 6
- Mutsaers, H.J.W., Weber, G.K., Walker, P., Fischer, N.M. (1997) A field guide for on-farm experimentation. International Institute of Tropical agricultural/CTA/ISNAR. pp 235.
- Namwata BML, Lwelamira J, Mzirai OB (2010) Adoption of improved agricultural technologies for Irish potatoes (*Solanum tuberosum*) among farmers in Mbeya Rural district, Tanzania: A case of Ilungu ward. *J. Anim. Plant Sci.*, 8(1), 927-935.
- Nkamleu GB, Adesina AA (2000) Determinants of chemical input in peri-urban lowland systems: bivariate probit analysis in Cameroon. *Agr. Syst.* 63,111-121.
- Nkamleu, G.B. (2007) Modeling farmers' decisions on integrated soil nutrient management in sub-saharan Africa. A multinomial logit analysis in Cameroun. *Advances in Integrated Soil Fertility Management in Sub-Saharan Africa: Challenges and Opportunities*, 891-904 DIO: 10.1007/978-1-4020-5760-185.
- Nkamleu, G.B., Coulibaly, O., Tamo, M., Ngeve, J.M. (1998) Adoption of storage pest control technologies by Cowpeas' Traders in Western Cameroun: Probit Model Application .Monograph. International institute of Tropical Agriculture.
- Ntege-Nanyeenya, W., Mugisa-Muteikka, M., Mwangi, W., Verkuijl, H., (1997)An assessment of factors affecting adoption of maize production technologies in Iganga District, Uganda. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: National Agricultural Research Organization (NARO) and international Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT)

- 518 Okoedo-Okojie, D.U., Onemolease, E.A. (2009) Factors affecting the adoption of yam storage  
519 technologies in the Northern Ecological zone of Edo State, Nigeria. *J. Human Ecol.*,  
520 27(2), 155-160.  
521
- 522 Onweremadu EU, Matthews-Njoku EC (2007) Adoption levels and sources of soil management  
523 practices in low - input agriculture. *Nature and Science*, 5(1), 39-45  
524
- 525 Onweremadu EU, Asiabaka CC, Adesope AM, Oguzor NS (2007) Application of indigenous  
526 knowledge on land use activities among farmers in Central Southeastern Nigeria. *Online J.*  
527 *Earth Sci.*, 1, 47-50  
528
- 529 Padi, B., Owusu, G.K. (1998) Towards Integrated pest management for sustainable cocoa  
530 production in Ghana. p. 42 In *Proceedings of the 1<sup>st</sup> sustainable Cocoa Workshop*, 20  
531 March to 3 April 1998, Panama. Smithsonian National Zoological Park. < Assessed in  
532 15<sup>th</sup> September, 2011
- 533 Polson R, Spencer DSC (1991) The technology adoption process in subsistence agriculture: the  
534 case of cassava in south western Nigeria. *Agri. Syst.*, 36,65-77.
- 535 Quisumbing AR, Brown LR, Feldstein HS, Haddad L, Pena C (1995) Women: The key to food  
536 security, *Food Policy Report*. International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington  
537 D.C.
- 538 Rahman. S. (2003) Environmental impacts of Morden agricultural technology diffusion in  
539 Bangladesh: an analysis of farmers perceptions and their determinants. *J. Environ.*  
540 *Manage.*, 68,183-191.
- 541 Rahman, S. (2008) Determinants of crop choice by Bangladeshi farmers. A bivariate probit  
542 analysis. *Asian. J. Agric. Dev.* 5(1):29-42.
- 543 Rahm MR, Huffman WE (1984) The adoption of reduced tillage: The role of human capital and  
544 other variables. *Am. J. Agr. Econ.* 66(4),405-413.
- 545 Rajasekharan, P., Veeraputhran, S. (2002) Adoption of intercropping in rubber smallholdings in  
546 Kerala, India a Tobit analysis. *Agroforest Syst.*, 56,1-11.
- 547 Tiarniyu, S.A., Akintola, J.O., Rahji, M.A.Y. (2009) Technology adoption and productivity  
548 difference among growers of new rice for Africa in savanna zone of Nigeria.  
549 *Tropicultura*, 27(4),193-197.
- 550 Tobin, J. (1958) Estimation of relationship for limited dependent variables. *Econometrica*, 26  
551 (1), 24-36.
- 552 Uaiene, R.N., Arndt, C., Masters, W.A. (2009) Determinants of agricultural technology adoption  
553 in Mozambique. National Directorate of Studies and Policy Analysis Ministry of  
554 Planning and Development, Republic of Mozambique, Discussion papers No. 67E
- 555 Udoh, A.J., Idio, A., Umoh, E., Robson, U.(2008) Socioeconomic factors influencing adoption of  
556 Yam Monist Technology in South eastern Nigeria: A probit analysis. *India Res. J. Ext.*  
557 *Edu.* 8 (2-3),1-5.
- 558 Valera, J.B., Plopino, R.F. (1987) Philosophy and principle of extension. In an introduction to  
559 extension delivery system by Valera JB, Martinez VA and Plopino RF (Editor). Island  
560 Publishing House, Manila p.51-61.

561 Van de Ban, A.W., Hawkins, H.S. (1988) Agricultural extension. John Wiley and Sons, New  
562 York, United States. P 61-127

563 Vigneri, M. (2005) Trade liberalisation and agricultural performance: Micro and macro evidence  
564 on cash crop production in Sub-Sahara Africa. Unpublished D. Phil. Thesis. Oxford  
565 University.

566 Wu, H., Ding, S., Pandey, S., Tao, D. (2010) Assessing the impact of Agricultural technology  
567 adoption on farmers well-being using propensity-score matching analysis in rural china.  
568 Asian Econ. J., 24(2), 141-160.

569 Zhang .W, Li. F., Xiong. Y., Xia. Q. (2012) Econometric analysis of the determinant of adoption  
570 of raising sheep in folds by farmers in the semiarid Loess Plateau. Ecol. Econ.  
571 74:145-152.

572

573