



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012_AJEA_2947
Title of the Manuscript:	NUTRITIVE POTENTIALS OF Centrosema pubescens Mimosa invisa and Pueraria phaseoloides LEAF MEALS FOR GROWTH RESPONSES OF CHICKEN.

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The author needs to go through and check the references properly since from my observation, it is not properly done. The reference ought to be written on the reference page as cited in the text. Numbers alone should be cited in the text in most cases, giving the names of authors and full reference on the reference page. NCR (18) appears not to be on the reference page. The author needs to present a clearer materials and methods- clearly showing the component of each treatment. The number of treatments used in these experiments is also not clear.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Maintain same decimal places in the tables (preferably, 2 decimal places)	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Generally, the study is worthwhile.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer