



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012_AJEA_2947
Title of the Manuscript:	NUTRITIVE POTENTIALS OF Centrosema pubescens Mimosa invisa and Pueraria phaseoloides LEAF MEALS FOR GROWTH RESPONSES OF CHICKEN.

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:
(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Include a table of nutrient composition for all the test diets (treatments) 2. This is a dose-response type of study. This means the levels of inclusion are not absolute. The authors should, therefore, calculate inclusion levels for optimal responses. 3. Leaf meals contained high amounts of tannins. Their effects on productivity of chickens should, therefore, be included. 4. The introduction should include both what has been done on the subject and what gaps are there. 5. Include a table of diet (treatment) digestibility values. This will help the authors in their discussion.	
Minor REVISION comments	1. Major text editing is required (spellings, grammar, etc.) 2. Tables 2, 4 and 5 require editing. 3. Title requires revision (specify the chicken breeds and where the study was done).	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer