SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	**MS: 2012/AJEA/2206**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Farmers' agronomic and social evaluation of the productivity, yield and cooking quality of four cassava varieties**

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	**Authors' response to final evaluator's comments**
Line 113 should read "... were harvested on the same..." **Lines 197-203.** I appreciate that authors have provided a reference supporting the idea that leaving the stems in the field will return N to the soil. The authors state that Bensre has a high biomass, however that information is not provided. I have made the calculations and indeed, Bensre has a high above-ground biomass, of which most is the weight of the stems. So, this statement is true. However, even though it is **not** necessary, perhaps the authors could help the readers of the manuscript by providing the values of above ground biomass data in Table 2. This is **NOT** necessary, but I think would improve the clarity of the paper and make this statement more convincing.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer