



## SDI Review Form 1.6

### **PART 1:**

|                          |                                                                                                                                                |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">American Journal of Experimental Agriculture</a>                                                                                   |
| Manuscript Number:       | 2013_AJEA_3902                                                                                                                                 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Evaluation of pre-emergence herbicides for weed control in cowpea ( <i>Vigna unguiculata</i> (L.) Walp.) in a forest - savanna transition zone |

**General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:**

**(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)**

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



## SDI Review Form 1.6

### **PART 2: Review Comments**

|                                            | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments | <p>* This article is about 7 years ago. Is it okay to accept the old article??? If you agree, I'll continue.</p> <p>1- Page 1, lines 41-42: The keywords should not be selected from the paper title.</p> <p>2- Page 1, line 3: <u>six</u> treatments is replaced by <u>five</u> treatments.</p> <p>3- Page 3, line 22: <u>Siam</u> weed is replaced by <u>siam</u> weed.</p> <p>4- Page 3, line 22: <u>Cyprus</u> spp. is replaced by <u>Cyprus</u> spp. (italic).</p> <p>5- Page 6 (Data collection &amp; Analysis): The experimental design should be expressed!</p> <p>6- Page 7 (Economic Assessment): Market price based on the \$ America is better.</p> | <p><b>1. Keywords:</b> Cowpea, herbicides, weed smothering efficiency, CBR.</p> <p><b>2. <u>Five</u> weed control treatments evaluated against unweeded control.....</b></p> <p>3. Reflected in the text</p> <p>4. Corrected in the text</p> <p>5. The statistical model of the trial was based on randomized complete block design. All agronomic data were subjected to ANOVA and, where appropriated means were separated using Fisher's protected LSD at 5% level of probability.</p> <p>6. The authors prefer to express market prices on the currency of transaction in the study location. However, the local currency equivalent of America \$ at time the</p> |



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                                         |                                                                                                      |                                                                                               |
|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                         |                                                                                                      | <i>study was conducted will be inserted as a footnote below the tables (Tables 7 &amp; 8)</i> |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments   | Mark writing as “. , ; : () etc.” within the text and the references should be carefully considered. | Ok.                                                                                           |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments |                                                                                                      |                                                                                               |