SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	**MS: 2012/AJEA/2299**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Determinants of Farmers' Decision of Soil Fertility Management Options for Maize Production in Southern Ethiopia.**

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:
(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The subject of this work seems very original and interesting to me. It deals with the perception of small farmers in relationship to their decisions about application of soil fertility management. The work is well written and data are deeply discussed. I only found minor corrections. Perhaps the authors might include more references.**	References are included.
Minor REVISION comments	line 96: delete "sufficient" line 99: change for "..sampling procedure and data analysis.." line 101: change for "two districts were selected" lines 222=223: these options had already been defined before in lines 156 and158	These comments are well taken. But the data here refer to the types of data collected, not method of analysis. Here the options are mentioned in relation to the findings.
Optional/General comments		