



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012_AJEA_2886
Title of the Manuscript:	Statistical models of some important factors affecting seed yield, seed quality and yield components of safflower

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In general, this paper should revealed new findings in this research. What are the differences in this study with previous works in safflower? . Please declare the beneficial outputs of your results in this research.	I have made all the required amendments and observations in accordance with the opinion of the auditors.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The text of the manuscript has over lap in some parts. The tables should be revised better, because of poor presentation in it. The text writing stile should be revised in a scientific manner.	Revision was conducted for the research paper
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This manuscript should be revised full for all pats (Grammar and writing). The finding should be noted with declaration. Results and discussion could be revised in a better manner.	Revision was conducted for the research paper. I express my sincere thanks to you for review valuable and useful for the research paper.