



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013 AJEA 4374
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF OIL SPILLAGE ON THE PRODUCTIVITY OF CASSAVA IN NIGER DELTA REGION OF NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. I feel the authors should review the title of the paper to reflect work done. I suggest: <i>Effect of oil spillage on cassava production in Niger Delta Region of Nigeria</i>. 2. The paper should be properly justified to make the research worth expending, resources & time to undertake. 3. This paper, to me, did not use appropriate assessment parameters to study the effect of oil spillage on cassava production in the aforementioned area. Eg. The survey of socio-economic status of cassava farmers, determinants of land productivity etc 4. There are long clumsy sentences and inappropriate use of words here and there. I advice the authors to cross check and correct all that. 5. There are irrelevant references eg. Emokaro & Erhabor (2006) and Ahmadu & Alufohai (2008). 6. The results obtained were not discussed	Reviewed as suggested - It is vital that the socio-economic characteristics of respondents who provided data for the study are known. Also, the description of variable such as farming experience used in the regression needed to be given. This has to be given along with other socio-economic factors. The essence of the regression was to find out which factor, including oil spillage actually influence the productivity of cassava. This is the effect the study is addressing. It only complements the results of descriptive statistics. Cross checked Expunged -
<u>luenceMinor</u> REVISION comments	Outlined specific objectives were not addressed in the results section.	Every sub-section of the results addressed specific objective.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		