



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012_AJEA_2886
Title of the Manuscript:	Statistical models of some important factors affecting seed yield, seed quality and yield components of safflower

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The authors have already make most of the corrections I suggest during the first round of the reviewing process. However, there are some other points to be corrected (mainly in the newly introduced text or in the initial text that has not been corrected). Here is a list with these points (Please see carefully all of these, because to my opinion, they could be improve your work). 1. Line (L)91: delete the word "experiment" 2. L. 94: write "meteorological data" instead of "meteorological statistics". 3. L. 100. The same as in L.94 4. L. 114: write "second season" instead "second seasons" 5. L. 119: crop was kept from weeds.... It does not make sense. I suggest to be written that the crop was kept <u>free</u> from weeds.... (as I suggested in my first reviewing) 6. Table 3. The table is too long. I suggest splitting the table in 2 tables. 7. L. 242. write "in seed yield" instead of "seed yield kg ha-1" 8. L. 258. You did not change the citation [28] correctly. Please, use the correct names as they given in the original publication. Do the same in the list of references (L.700), in L. 267 and everywhere it is appeared. 9. I can not find any correction regarding the validation of the model given in Table 8 of the revised manuscript (Table 6 in the original manuscript). I apologize if I make a mistake. 10. In the list of references, please check the format in all articles to be the same and according to the instructions of the journal. For example this requirement is not satisfied in the case of [4] Fageria N, <u>and</u> Baligar VC. ... and [13] Gomez KA, Gomez AA.	Deleted Revised Revised Corrected Corrected Table 3 splitting in 2 tables Corrected Corrected The final fitted model presented Corrected We thank you for your cooperation with us to review the value of the research paper