

-----Original Message-----

From: XXX YYY<xxx@yyy.zzz>

Sent: Tue, Sep 3, 2013 at 4:14 AM

To: Managing Editor

Subject: Re: Request for final decision for manuscript number 2013/AJEA/3649

Please find attached my decision for manuscript no 2013 AJEA 3649. I also attached the text with my many corrections, suggestions, requirements and questions. The manuscript still needs serious revision and I have to re-evaluate the text after correction. The text is completely changed so I wonder whether it should be again reviewed by independent specialist?

Editor's Details:

Sławomir Borek

Department of Plant Physiology, Adam Mickiewicz University, Poland.

Note: Modification was done in this document ONLY to hide the email id.

Attached Part:

The decision for manuscript number 2013 AJEA 3649 entitled "An investigation of the content of manganese in the fruit of marrows (*Cucurbita pepo* L. var. giromontia) in respect of the kind of fertilizer and the type of fertilization" later "Effect of type and method of fertilization on marrows (*Cucurbita pepo* L.) yield and fruit quality" by Denka Kostova, Dimka Haytova and Dimitar Mechandjiev.

Authors introduced to the text many serious changes. It caused that this is completely different manuscript comparing to the previous one. Title is changed. Abstract is new. Introduction is remarkably shortened. According to my suggestion, there is only one Table instead of two. However, five figures were removed and two new are introduced to the text. Results and discussion is substantially re-written. References are reduced from 28 to only 19. The problem is that despite such huge modification of the text there are still many mistakes. I marked many problematic points in the manuscript. They are my corrections, suggestions, requirements and questions. English is still poor and it cause that in some fragments the text is not understandable. I tried to correct the text in some places but it is not enough. The text must be corrected by specialist in scientific English.

To sum up, the text still is not acceptable for printing in AJEA. It needs additional revision and I need re-evaluate the text before I will make the final decision.